TCh Dues \$1 a Year

MAY 1966

Volume 8, No. 3

Published bi-monthly. Editor: Peter P. Lahde, 80 Lyle Lane, Nashville, Tenn. 37211 Games Editor: Robert R. Coveyou, 104 Stanton Lane, Cak Ridge, Tenn. 37830 Fostal Editor: Mike Coveyou, 104 Stanton Lane, Cak Ridge, Tenn. 37830 President of TCA: John F. Hurt, 1063 South Perkins, Memphis, Tenn. 38117 Contributors: Estes (Nashville), Burris (Knoxville), Koathley & Price (Murfreesbore), and Smithson (AEDC).

OPERATION "HI-GEAR"

During February a new drive was launched by USOF with Operation "Hi-Gear". Several prominent chess leaders are behind the move to engage a fulltime executive secretary and organizer to relieve the present Business Manager - Editor of his many duties he cannot completely fullfill as the workload is just too heavy. It's enough to ask of any man to handle the Editor's job, the business office, and rating supervision, but you cannot charge the same man with the herculean task of national organization...the correspondence alone would kill him!

Therefore it has been suggested that Col. Edmandsen, current USOF President, assume the position of Chief executive of permanent staff, but under direction of USOF President and Executive Committee. Col. Edmandson would be and excellent choise for this position and he would very much want to serve. To make it possible for Col. Edmondson to assume this job he would need \$2,000 to relocate in New York (from Calif.) and \$3,000 from April 1 to Sep. 1, 1966 when he would not yet be on the USOF payroll.

So far many states have responded and well over \$3,000 has been raised. We in Tennessee have been asked to raise \$50. So far \$24 have been raised from these cities: Nashville \$20, Murfreesboro \$3, and Knoxville \$1. Memphis has pledged \$20 and Oak Ridge \$10. If anyone also would like to contribute to this worthy cause please send it to Peter Lahde, 80 Lyle Lane, Nashville, Tenn. 37211. He in turn will send it to Jerry Spann who is heading the drive.

MIDDLE TENNESSEE OPEN WON BY JOHN HURT

John Hurt of Memphis again took first place in the second Middle Tennessee Open held in Nashville from April 29 to May 1. His score was four wins and a draw with Jin Wright. Emanuel Tsitseklis of Knoxville placed second by winning four and losing only to Hurt. Jin Wright of Memphis was third with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, winning three, a loss to Tsitseklis and the draw with Hurt. Best score for Middle Tennessee for fourth was obtained by Hugh Lafellette with 3. Tony Estes ably directed the event.

The Arateur was won by Frank Garner despite a last round upset by Abrahams. Besides Garner, Mitch Stein and Charles Wagner obtained also four points to take second and third. Five new players were gained to USCF and seven to TCA.

Name 1. Hurt, John 2. Tsitseklis, En. 3. Wright, James 4. LaFollette, Hugh 5. DuPlantier, Don 6. Lahde, Peter 7. Clark, Roy 8. Leiserson, Avery 9. Estes, Tony	MIDDLE TENNESS City I Memphis Knoxville Millington Nashville Nashville Nashville Murfreesboro Nashville Nashville	Rating	Rd1 : W2 : L1 : W7 : L6 : W9 : W4 : L3 : Bye	Rd2 W5 W8 W6 W9 L1 L3	D3 W6 D1 L5 W4 L2 D8 D7	Rd4 W8 W3 L2 Bye D7 D9 D5 L1 D6	Rd5 W7 W5 W9 W8 L2 Bye L1 L4 L3	Score 42 -1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	T 134 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1. Garner, Frank 2. Stein, Mitchell 3. Wagner, Charlos 4. Williamson, Ray 5. Abrahams, Sanders 6. Keathley, Bob 7. Leinard, Mike 8. Buckmaster, David 9. Davis, Frank 10. Grant, Anthony	MIDDLE TENNESSE Memphis Murfreesboro Murfreesboro Nashville Murfreesboro Nashville Murfreesboro Nashville Murfreesboro Nashville Nashville	E AMATI 1691 1438 1370 UNR UNR 1200 1580 UNR UNR UNR	W6 W8 W9 W10	966 W2 L1 W7 W6 W9 L4 L3 W10 L5 L8	W3 W9 L1 W7 W8 W10 L4 L5 L6	L6 L3 Wit		4 -1 4 -1 4 -1 3 -2 2 -3 2 -3 1 -4 m0 -5	16 11 9 12 11 13 13 13 11

HURT AND GILLEY SHARE MEMPHIS CLUB TITLE

John Hurt and Mark Gilley took top honors in the Memphis Club competition just completed. This is quite a record for John as he placed first in the event five out of six tries, including twice sharing it with Mark Gilley. This is also quite an accomplishment for Gilley as his rating is about 150 points below that of Hurt. Jim Wright always a contender for the title took a comfortable third place. See three games from this event on page 20. The results follow:

1.2. Hurt 6-2 6 - 2 1-2. Gilley 3. Wright
4. Churchill 5 - 3 2½- 5½ 2- 7½ 5. Garner

The Memphis Club tourney is probably the oldest chass contest in Tennessee, even older than the Tennessee Open. For the record we will give you the winners beginning with 1959 when TCN started. Should the earlier record become available we will give it sometime in the near future.

1959 Scriner and Wright 61 1 1960 Wright 9호-2호 1961 Hurt 121-31 1962 Hurt 12-2
1963 Armstrong and Middleton $10\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$ 1964 Hurt and Gilley $7\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ 1964 Hurt and Gilley ,222, 1965 Hurt 9-1

AS Reported in the Murf. Bulletin

Jim Price again captured the title of Murfreesboro Champion as he swept the tournament with a perfect 6-0. In his march to victory Price defeated Russell, Buckmaster, Clark, Stein, Hardesty, and Abrahams. Roy Clark finished second with 5-1, and Bob Keathley third with a respectable 4-2 (can you believe Bob actually played up to his strength in tournament play?). Mitchell Stein, with 32-22, finished fourth and again wins the junior prize. Class B prize (highest score of a player originally ranked in the last eight of the 16 entrants) will go to Keathley. The final scores:

1. Price	6-0 20 1	9. Russell	.3 - 3 17
2. Clark	5-1 20½	, 10. Stancliff	3 – 3 15章
3. Keathley	4-2 16	11. Prahl	3 - 3 13巻
4. Stein	3월-2월 21월	12. Wagner	2 - 4 20
5. Buckmaster	3 -3 23	13. Corlew	2 - 4 1 3
6-7. Abrahams	3 - 3 22	14. Eatherly	2 - 4 13
6-7. Hardesty	3 3 22	15. Weens	1 - 5 15
8. Freedle	3 -3 19	(How about some gar	mes?, Ed.)
e dia managarah di kacamatan di k			
and the second second		<u> </u>	
The second secon	NEWS IN BRID	er .	

At this writing the World Championship, Match between the two Soviet players Tetrosian and Spassky stands at 6-4 in favor of the champion. Petrosian has won two games and the other eight were drawn. Petrosian must obtain 12 points out of 24 to retain the title, while Spassky must get 12½ to win it.

The two Soviet representatives Petrosian and Spassky have declined to play in the International Piatigorsky Cup to be played at Santa Monica, Calif. beginning July 17. The two replacements Unzicker and Portisch have already accepted. Fischer and Reshevky will represent the United States. Four other players will also play.

The World Team Championships are to take place at Havana this year. Due to the travel restrictions to Cuba a U.S. team may not be able to go. The USCF is making every effort to get permission for a team.

Richard Long of Atlanta (formerly of Nashville) reports that the Southern will take place in Atlanta this year over the 4th of July weekend. They are also trying to get Bobby Fischer to come. Total prize fund should be about \$1,200. More details should come in Chess Life. Why don't you plan to play?

The Middle Tennessee State University in Murfreesbro had a tourney which was won by Charles Wagner. He defeated Sandy Abrahama in a play-off after both had. equal scores in the tournament.

See The Company of the

```
CHESS QUIZ
                                     Vulnerable First Rank
              No.7
                                            No. 8
                                                                             No. 9
         . . rr . k .
                                       r . . . r . k .
                                                                        b.R.nk.
      ppp..pp.
                                       .p.b.pp.
                                                                        . . . . p p p
                                       . p . . . . p
         • • • • p
                                                                       b . p . . .
                                       . R . . . . . .
      P P P . . P . .
                                       P. . Q . B . .
                                       . P . . . . P P
                                                                       q...BQPP
       . . K . . . R .
                                       R . . . . . . K
                                            White
                                                                            White
         Black(3)
                                         Black(1)
                                                                           White(5)
                                   Deadline: June 20. 1966
                                          Solutions:
No.1 - 1...N/3xQP! 2 NxN NxN 3 PxN B-Q5ch 4 K-R1 R-K6 or 2 PxN B-Q5ch. Tainonov -
Trifunovic, Team Match, 1957 (Chess Feb. 1964)
No.2 - 1. R-K6! Tal - Krogius, USSR Champ., 1965 (CL Jun 1965)
No.3 - 1...NxP! (Now if 2. PxN Q-B3 wins White's bishop. If 2 BxR Q-B3 3 R-KR2
         NxP wins as 4 R-QBl fails against 4...Q-N3ch. The game continued:)
2.R-QBl Q-K2! 3 PxN QxP 4 K-Bl (4...Q-R8 mate threatened and 4 R-R2 then
               4...QxPch would maintain the pressure.)
        4...Q-R6ch 5 K-K2 (Or 5 K-K1 QxKPch)
5...QxNPch 6 K-Q2 (Tal now blundered with 6...KR-Qlch? and after 7 N-Q4
               Q-N6 8 R-KB4 he lost. But with ...)
         6...QR-Qlch! (removing this Rock from attack, he could have won)
         7 N-Q4 Q-N4 (secures a fourth pawn for the piece)
Keres - Tal, Candidates 1959 (Chess Oct 1959)
No.4 - 1...Q-N8ch 2 K-K2 Q-K8 rate. Kotkov - Spassky, Soci, USSR 1965.
No.5 - 1...RxNch! 2 KxR R-B8ch 3 K-R2 B or PxPch 4 K-R3 R-R8 mate
        Bisguier - Fischer, US Champ. 1965 (CL Jan 66 and CR Mar 66)
No.6 - 1 Q-N7ch K-K1 2 QxBch! KxQ 3 R-N7ch K-K1 4 N-B6 mate
Korchnoi - Peterson, USSR Champ. 1965 (CL Apr 1965)
The solvers: 1. Coffey 17, 2. Churchill 13, 3. McNeely 9
The unidentified game last issue and well anotated by Robert Coveyou was from the
      last US Championship between Reshevsky and Fischer.
```

A POSITION IN QUESTION By Peter Lahde

Two players, Brooks MoNeely and Keith Akers, found a flaw in my analysis. I stated that in the position on the left (found in last issue on page 11) if I had played 33 P-R4 BxP 34 BxB and white wins. But Black does not have to play 33...ExF5andn.

Problem of the Bishop must be played after which white would be slightly better. But he agrees with Akers that 32...NxP gives Black his best chance.

Akers says if 34 QxP (instead of 34 FxB) BxP 35 BxB QxB and Black threatens .N-Q6ch, NxPch and Q-K7ch, in other words, he feels White is bad off. (No doubt the position is difficult to analyze and I prefer not to make a judgment. Ed.)

Brooks McNeely also commented on the game between Irwin and Estes as follows: (Fage 11, last issue) "In the Irwin - Estes Game I think note (a) is bad because Irwin's move forced a draw while he more likely has a won game in that position. Instead the move must be considered a blunder because of overanxiousness. Admittedly this is mostly a question of assthetics and ignores the relative ratings of the players, but I thought I might mention it. (Some very interesting comments. But in Irwin's play he had actually had thought during the game that the combination would win instead of draw. Only in post game analysis was the drawing line discovered. But what of it, he won anyway, as his opponent did not see the drawing line. Ed.)

We appreciate the comments of both players and is a way of telling that we have those who study the games. Thanks again.

CHESS IN HIGH SCHOOLS

That chess in high schools is taking place in two major cities of Tennessee was probably unheard of only a few years ago. But since promoters of chess in Memphis and Nashville have taken an interest this has become a reality.

Under the sponsorship of John Hurt, TCA prexy, the Memphis High School Chess League began on November 20, 1965. Some ten high schools each having five members on a team met for nine consecutive weeks at the Memphis Public Library to determine the best team. As winner the Catholic High School of Memphis emerged. The organization sponsoring this event was the Optimist Chess Club. The results follow:

	MEMPHIS HI					ESS		EAG	UE	1966	5			- - -
		1	2	3	4	5	6						Drawn	Tetal
1.	CATHOLIC H.S. (Young)	5	3	3	3.	3	3	-3	5	4	9W	OL	OD	. ,
	Gifford, Mays, McKinna					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		• 1			32		0	- 32
	McDanel, Geigel(players)	_ '		5					_	_				
. 2.	OVERTON H.S.	2	2	3	3	建	3	3	5	5	7W -	-	OD	
	Hurt III, McDanel, Young,		. 5.0			***. /		9			25늄	4	0	29 ₽
	Webb, Garner						•					-44	122	/•
3.	CHRISTIAN BROTHER H.S.	猪	5	2	5	2	1	- 3	3	5	6W	弘	OD	291
	Sweeny, Cutliff, Thomas,					: -		^			24글	5	0	
0	Dohogne, Werner					, .								1
4.	WHITE STATION		3	-2∹	0	21/2	3	5	1	-4 -	5W	3L	1D	232
	Gomberty, Simpson, Rottersman	n.		*** <,				\$ 10 m	•	•	18	- 3	, 2 ह े	
	Rosenberg, Wallace					_						e v		
5.	EAST HIGH SCHOOL	5	5	4	4	12	2	. 2	2	ી <u>રે</u>	44	5L	OD	
	Gibbon					5 j. *	٠,		- · ·		18	9	0	27
6.	CENTRAL H.S.	급	1/2	1	5	4	3	2	4	, I	4W	5L	OD	
-	Snyder, Blancherd, Macmore,				• ;						16	6	0	22
	Sachrize, Smith		٠	•										
7.	WHITEHAVEN (Hipps, coach)	0	2	5	2	1	4	4	. 3	0	4W	5L	OD	• • • • • •
, i	Robinson, Burch, Swiccord,								•		16	5		21
	Woods, Bertschinger									- ·			n wiled	
8.	WEST MEMPHIS	5	4글	2늘	2	2ঠ	2	2	2	<i>3</i> a	3W	4L	2D	26
	Churchill, Bunch, Vanhorn,		1 1	٠							13	- 8	5	26
	Rogers, Deder		T. **		والرا		•	٠.,			(e) p p 4		3 ×	
9.	KINGSBURY	0	0	· 2출	1	2늘	2	1	0	1	OW	7L	2D	
	Spec, Varner, Paggad,				:						0	5	- 5	10
	Morton, Gillet		•			_								
10.	TECH	0	0	0	0	2호	2	0	0	0	OW	8L	1D	
	(sorry names were not availa	ble):					· '	- 1		0	2	2 1	412

The Nashville High School Team Tournament took place on January 8, 1966 at Howard High School. Some nine teams with four players each from five high schools participated. The event was played as a four round Swiss. The winning team was Litton I for which their received a large trophy plus individual trophies. Second was the first team from Overton. Each player here received a chess book. The results follow:

	NASHVILLE HIGH SCHOOL CHE	SS TE	AM TO	URNAI	ment 19	966		
	•	Rd1	Rd2	Rd3	Rd4	Match	p. Game	points
1.	LITTON I	w8	W9	D7	W2	3 हे		
	LaFollette, Logan, Griffin, Cayce	4	4	2	2늘		. 1	21/2
	OVERTON I	W5	W6	W3	Ll	3	• 1	_•
	Leinard, Watson, Geier, Riggs	4	3	4	1 2]	,2충
3.	LITTON II	D6	W4	1,2	W7	` 2 1		
•	Storrs, Auril, Perry, Lacy	2	3	0	4			9
4.	OVERTON II	W7_	L3	D5	D8	2 -		1
	Martin, McDonald, Watson, Cleeton	2녍	. 1	2	2 .		11 11 11 11	<i>1</i> ⋛
5.	HOWARD II	. L2	Bye	D4	. D6	.2		
	Britcher, Stewart, Masney, Rodgers	0	2	2	2		i ganta	6
6.	GLENCLIFF I	D3	L2	D8	D5	地		_
	Watts, Meyer, Moss, Mooneyhan	2	1	2	2	- 1	. ,	1
7.	HOWARD I	L4	W8	D1	L3	12		,
	Johnson, Weiss, Tatum, Stanfield	1늘		. ,2	- 0			0
8.	ANTICCH	L1	L7:	D6	D4	1		_1
	Mathews, Pylo, Brown, King	. O};	15	2	. 2			5 월
9.	GLENCLIFF II	BYE	*: Ll	wit	hdrawn	. 1		
	Hite, Wallace, Meyer, Nixon	2	0		14			2
	* For Byes a team received one match	point	and	two	game p	oints.		

GAME FROM MIKE COVEYOU - AKERS MATCH Annotated by Games Editor Bob Coveyou

Earlier this year Mike Coveyou and Keith Akers engaged in a match which was won by the former by a score of $2\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$. Here is one of the games from this match.

	PAWN GAME	White: Mi	ke Coveyou		Blacks	Keith Ake	rø
1. P-0	•	B3 14.	N-B4	N×N	27.	P-K5(m)	Q-Q1(n)
2. N-1	KB3 P-Q	4 15.	BxN	BPxP(g)		PxP(o)	PxP
3. P-1	K3 P-10	N3 16.	BxP	P-KN4(h)	-	B-B3(p)	Q-162
4. QN-	-Q2 QN-(17.	Q-N4(i)	Q-K1		B-Q4(q)	R-QN1
5. B-0	13 P-B	4 18.	QR-Q1	K-R1(j)		K-R1	P-N5
6. P-F	33 B-N2	2 19.	R-03	P-QN4		RxPch(r)	BxR
7.0-0	0-0	20.	B-Q5	R-QN1		RxBoh	K-N1(s)
8. N-I	5(a) NxN	21.	R/1-Q1(k)			BxP(t)	RxR
9. PxN	N-Q2	2(b) 22.	R-KR3	P-QR4		QxPoh	K-R2
10. P-F	084 P-B3		R-QB1	R-N3(1)	36.	•	B-N2
11. P-F			R-R5	P-R3	37.	•	RxB
12. P-E	5 P-Q5		R-B5	K-R2		B→B8	Resigns(u)
13. P-R			R/3-KR3	R-R1	,,,,		" PETE IN (U)

- (a) This is a blunder. White's last chance to build the Stonewall formation was on his 4th(!) move, before Black had developed the q-knight. The fianchettoed Black Bishop makes a difference. Horowitz, pg. 532, note 30, gives 8. P-QN4 as best. But then 8... PXNP 9 PXNP P-K4! is good for Black, since the White Knight on Q2, blocking the Bishop, forbids P-KB4, protecting the King Pawn, after 10. NxP NxN 11. PxN N-N5.
- (b) A compensating blunder; 9...N-N5 wins a safe Pawn.
- (c) Far too optimistic. After 10...P-K3, the game would be about even, though Black looks a little more solid,
- (d) Of course. This Pawn does its dirty work without ever moving again.
- e) This immediate break is Black's best chance.
- (f) Probably the best move of the game; White sees that the protection of his advanced Pawns comes before anything else, and is well worth a Pawn and the exchange of Queens.
- (g) Winning a Pawn as solace; there is probably nothing better.
- (h) This should have been avoided if possible; the awesome pawns are now invulnerable. But 16...QxQ 17. KRxQ 18 B-Q5! R-N1 19 QROB1 P-B5 20 B-Q4 looks very good for White.
- (i) White has here the interesting line 17. QxQ RxQ 18. KR-Q1 R-K1 (further exchange is hopeless for Black; the White Rook will invade the eighth rank) and White should win on the Queen side, where he has a crushing local superiority. Such seeming simplicities are in fact very hard to analyze over the board. White decides, with justification, to try instead to outnumber Black on the Kingside, to which Black's Queensside pieces have very limited access.
- (j) 18...P-KR4 would safeguard the King-side, but White would then return to the Queen-side.
- k) 21. R-QB1 would save a tempo, as the game goes.
- (1) It is not clear why Black totally abstains from ... B-N2; now it will be difficult (B-Q4).
- (m) The decisive break; an attack on KR6!
- (n) An oversight in a lost position.
- (o) 28. RxPch BxR 29 Q-R5, with Q-Noch threatened!
- (p) And now! But White has another drastic threat: 30. RxPch BxR (K-N1 31. RxRch, ExR 32, QxPch!!) 31. RxBch KxR (K-N1 32, QxPch!!) 32, Q-R5ch K-N2 33, QxPch and 34. BxP.
- (q) The threat has not been met, since after the main variation above, Black has just one check, but White has another idea.
- (r) White is now sure that he is ready.
- (s) The point of 31. K-R1 was: 33...KxR 34 Q-R5ch K-N2 35. Q-N6ch K-B1 36 B-B5.
- (t) Good enough, but 34. QxFch Q-N2 35. BxP R-R2 36 RxR was pretty and exact.
- (u) Quite a good game by White; after 8. N-K5? and a few minor imprecisions all his mistakes were harmless under - estimations of his own position.

RESPONSE TO "OPERATION HI-GEAR" GOOD

The response to "Operation Hi-Gear has been good with \$24 of the goal of \$50, or nearly 50% for Tennessee received. Herewith we want to recognize those that have donated. From Nashville they are (in dollars): Bowen 1, Crain 2, DuPlantier 1, Estes 5, LaFollette 1, Lahde 5, Leiserson 1, Lipszyc 1, Mencho 2, Williamson 1. From Murfreesboro: Clark 1, Stein 1, Wagner 1. From Knoxville: Tsitseklis 1.

MEMINIS CITY TOURNEY 1966

Black: John Hurt IRREGULAR White: Frank Garner 1 1-QB4 N-KB3 2 N-KB3 P-K3 3 P-KN3 P-Q4 4 B-N2 PXP 5 Q-R4ch B-Q2 6 QXP B-B3 7 Q-Q 8 Q-B2 N-B3 9 N-B3 N-QN5 10 Q-N B-K2 11 1-QR3 N-B3 12 R-Q1 B-N6 ... 13 R-K1 1-K4 14 1-K4 Q-C2 15 1-C3 C-O-O 16 N-KN5 N-K5 17 B-K3 N-N5 18 BxN CxB 19 B-R3? Black rates in two.

White: James Wright Black: John Hurt QUEENS GAMBIT DECLINED 1 P-Q4 N-KB3 2 P-QB4 P-K3 3 N-QB3 P-Q4 4 B-N5 B-K2 5 P-K3 P-KR3 6 B-B4 P-B4 7 N-B3 0-0 8 C-B2 N-B3 9 P-CR3 FxCP 10 KFxP C-R4 11 0-0-0 B-C2 12 PxP NxF 13 NxN PxN 14 K-N1 CR-B1 15 C-C2 C-N3 16 K-R2 N-R4 17 P-CN4 B-R5 18 B-C3 B-N6ch 19 K-N2 BxR 20 RxB N-B5ch 21 BxN PxB 22 R-CB1 P-CR4 23 N-K5 PxP 24 NxP/4 PxP dis ch and white resigned in five more moves.

Black: Robert Churchill 1 F-K4 P-CB4 2 N-CB3 F-C3 3 P-C3 N-KB3 4 P-KN3 P-K3 5 B-N2 CN-C2 6 KN-K2 B-K2 7 P-B4 O-O 8 F-KR3 N-K1 9 B-K3 P-B3 10 C-C2 P-CR3 11 O-O R-N1 12 P-C4 P-K4 13 P-KKP BF-KP 14 CR-C1 N-B3 15 P-B5 N-N3 16 P-N3 C-B2 17 P-KN4 B-C1 18 N-N3 CN-C2 White: John Hurt 19 F-N5 N-K1 20 N-C5 Resigns

MEMPHIS CLUB GAME 1966

Black: Robert Churchill SICILIAN DEFENCE .White: John Hurt

MIDDLE TENNESSEE AMATEUR, 1966

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED White: Frank Garner .. Black: Robert Keathley 1 P-Q4 P-Q4 2 P-QB4 F-K3 3 N-KB3 N-KB3 4 N-QB3 N-QB3 5 B-KN5 B-QN5 6 R-QB1 F-KR3 7 B-KR4 O-O 8 F-QR3 BxNch 9 RxB F-KN4 10 NxNP! PxN 11 BxP K-N2 12 R-KB3! K-N3 13 F-KR4 PxQBp 14 RxNch K-N2 15 F-K3 F-QN4 16 Q-KR5 QxR 17 BxQch KxB 18 Q-N5 rate

GRUENFELD DEFENCE White: Sanders Abrahams Black: Mike Leinard

1 P-Q4 N-KB3 2 P-QB4 P-Q4 3 N-QB3 P-QB3 4 N-B3 P-KN3 5 Q-B2 E-N2 6 P-K4 B-N5

7 N-K5 1xKP 8 B-N5 B-B4 9 BxN FxB 10 NxKBP KxN 11 NxP BxN 12 QxB R-K1 13 QxR QxQch 14 B-K2 Q-K5 15 P-B3 QXQP 16 R-QN1 N-Q2 17 R-Q1 QXNP 18 RXNch K-B1 19 R-Q2 Q-B6 20 K-Q1 Q-R8ch 21 K-B2 QxR Resigns

QUEEN'S GAMBIT DECLINED White: Frank Garner Black: Sanders Abrahaus 1 P-Q4 N-KB3 2 N-KB3 P-K3 3 P-K3.T-Q4 4 B-Q3 P-QB4 5 P-QB3 N-QB3 6 QN-Q2 B-Q3 7 Q-Q 0-Q 8 N-KN5 P-KR3 9 N-KR3 P-K4 10 PXP NXP 11 N-KB4 B-KN5 12 B-K2 BXB 13 QxB; Q=QB2 14 N=B3 KR-K1 15 P-KN3 N/4-KN5 16 P-KR3 BxN 17 NPxB Q=Q2 18 N-KR2 NxN 19 KxN R-K2 20 R-KN1 QR-Q1 21 B-Q2 Q-KB4 22 R-KN3 N-KR4 23 Q-KN4; NxR1 24 KxN Q-QB7 25 R-Q1 QxNF 26 P-QR4 P-QN4 27 PxP QxP(N4) 28 B-K1 P-B5 29 Q-KR5 P-QR4 30 RxQP Q-QN3 31 RxRP Q-QN8 32 Q-K2 R-Q2 33 R-QB5 R-Q8 34 QxBP RxB 35 R-KB5; QxR 36 Resigns

White: Frank Garner Black: Ray Williamson QUEEN'S PAWN GAME 1 P-Q4 P-Q4 2 N-KB3 P-KR3 3 P-K3 P-R3 4 B-Q3 P-K3 5 O-O P-QB4 6 P-B3 N-KB3 7 QN-Q2 Q-B2 8 N-K5 B-Q3 9 P-KB4 P-KR4 10 QN-B3 N-N5 11 B-Q2 NxN 12 NxN BxN 13 KFxB F-R5 14 Q-N4 K-B1 15 R-B3 N-Q2 16 QR-KB1 P-B4 17 1xF e.p. NxF 18 RxNch!
FxR 19 RxFch K-K1 20 Q-N6ch K-Q1 21 R-B7 B-Q2 22 Q-B6ch K-B1 23 QxRch Q-Q1 24 R-B8
K-B2 25 RxQ RxR 26 Q-K5ch K-B1 27 1xF R-N1 28 P-K4 F-R6 29 F-KN3 R-K1 30 B-B4 K-Q1 31 Q-B7ch K-K2 32 B-Q6ch K-B3 33 P-K5ch K-N4 34 QxB R-KN1 35 Q-K7ch K-R3 36 Q-R7ch Resigns

MIDDLE TENNESSEE OPEN 1966

ALEKH	INE'S DEF	ENCE W	hite: Jo	hn Hurt	Black:	Roy	Clari	k	
1. P-	K4	N-KB3	15,	R-R1	B-N5ch		29.	BxR	K-B1
2. P-	K5	N-Q4	16,	K-B2	PxN		30.	P-B5	K-K1
3. F-	QB4	N-N3	17.	RxN	N-02		31.	P-B6	K-Q1
4. P-	24	P-Q3	18	N-B3	BxN		32	B-06	N-B2
5. P-		PxP		PxB	Nxi		33.	P-B4	P-B3
6. BP		N-B3	20,	RxP	RxR			K-K3	P-N4
7. B-		BB4		BxR	0-0			P-R3	K-B1
8. N-	QB3	F-K3	22	, B ⊸Q 4	N-B3			K-Q4	1-B5
9. N-	B3	N-N5	23.	R-QN1	R-QN1		37.	B-N4ch	P-B4
10. R-	B1	P-B4	24	B-B3	N-Q1		38.	BxPch	K-N1
11. B-	K2	PxP		B-N6	N-K3		39.	K-B5	P-R4
12. Nx	P	B-QB4		B-R7	R-Q1		40.	Mate in	four
13. Nx	В .	QxQch		RxP	P-R5				
14. Nx	3	NxP/7?	28.	R-N8	RxR				
	SINDIAN			Don DuPlan		lack		nuel Tsi	tseklis
1. P-	• .	N→KB3		B-K3	QR-Q1			K-B3	K-K3
-	-	P-KN3	_	Q-Q2	N-R3			P-Q7	K-K2
3. N-		B-N2	23.		RxRch			K-K3	P-R4
4. P-		P-Q3		RxR	Q-N5			KB3	P-R5
5. N-		٥٥		N-K4	QxQ		45.	K-N2	B-B3
6, B-		P-B4		B xQ	N-N5		46.	K-R3	K-Q3
7. P-		P-QN4		B-KN5	R-N1		47.	N-B1	K-B2
8. Px		r-QR3	- 28.	NxP	N-B2	•	48.	N-Q3	K-N3
9.0-		PxP	29.	R-K4	N-Q5		49.	B-B4	RxP
10. Bx		Q-N3	30.	K-R2	Nxlch	•	50,	N-K5	R-KN2
11. Q-		N-R3	31.	Kx B	NxBch		51.	N-B7	P-N4
12. B-4	· .	N-B2	32.	K-N2	NxR	,	52.	PxP	BxP
13. F-0		P-K3	33.	NxN	B-Q5		53.	NxB	RxN
14. B-		PxP		P-R5	K-B1		54.	KxP	R-N5
15. fx		B -N 5	35.	P-R6	R-R1		55.	KR3	R-N2
16. P-0		N-02	36.	r-06	P-R3		56.	K-R2	P-B5
17. QR		N K4		P-B4	P-B4			K-R1	P-B6
18. P-		NxNch		N-N3	R-R2		58.	Resigns	
19. Pxl		BxP/RP		B-N5	K-B2			· 1	
20. KR	-K1	KR-K1	40.	N-K2	B-N2				

SICILIAN DEFENCE White: James Wright Black: Tony Estes

1. P-K4 P-QB4 2 N-KB3 F-K3 3 F-Q4 FxF 4 NxP F-QR3 5 N-QB3 Q-B2 6 B-K2 B-N5

7 B-Q2 N-KB3 8 P-B3 0-0 9 0-0 N-QB3 10 N-N3 KR-Q1 11 P-QR3 BxN 12 BxB P-Q4

13 BxN FxB 14 FxF RxF 15 Q-K1 R-KR4 16 Q-N3ch QxQ 17 FxQ P-K4 18 P-KN4 R-R3

19 B-Q3 B-K3 20 N-B5 N-Q1 21 K-B2 R-B1 22 F-QN4 P-B4 23 FxF B-Q2 24 P-B6 RxF

25 N-Q7 R-R3 26 NxKF N-B3 27 N-N4 R-Q3 28 R-KR1 N-Q5 29 BxFch K-N3 30 N-K3 NxF?

31 BxN Resigns

FRENCH DEFENCE White: Hugh LaFollette Black: Peter Lahde

1 P-K4 F-K3 2 P-Q4 F-Q4 5 P-K5 P-QB4 4 P-QB3 N-QB3 5 N-B3 Q-N3 6 B-K2 PxP

7.PxP KN-K2 8 N-R3 N-B4 9 N-B2 P-KR4 10 B-Q3 B-Q2 11 BxN PxB 12 0-0 0-0-0

13 P-QR4 N-QR4 14 N-K3 B-K3 15 Q-Q3 P-N3 16 B-Q2 K-N1 17 QR-N1 N-N6 18 KR-Q1? P-B5!

19 N-B1 B-KB4 20 Q-K2 BxR 21 RxB NxQP 22 NxN QxN 23 P-K6 Q-K5 24 QxQ PxQ

25 B-B3 R-R2 26 B-K5ch K-R1 27 B-B6 R-K1 28 QR-Q1 PxF 29 P-KN3 P-B6 30 N-K3 R-QB2

31 B-Q8 R-B1 32 B-B6 B-K2 33 N-B4? BxB 34 N-Q6 KR-Q1 35 P-R4 R-B3 36 2-QN4 R/3xN

37 RxR RxR 38 P-R5 R-Q8ch 39 K-R2 R-Q7 40 P-R6 RxPch 41 K-R3 R-D8 42 PxPch KxP

43 K-R2 B-K4 44 Resigns (mate in two)

BALCKMAR GAMBIT White: Roy Clark Black: Don DuPlantier

1 P-Q4 P-Q4 2 P-K4 PxP 3 N-QB3 N-KB3 4 P-B3 PxP 5 NxP P-K3 6 B-KN5 B-K2 7 P-KR4

P-KR3 8 B-K3 QN-Q2 9 B-Q3 P-R3 10 Q-K2 N-N3 11 0-0-0 N-Q4 12 B-Q2 Q-Q3 13 N-K5

N-QN5 14 B-QB4 P-QN4 15 B-N3 B-N2 16 B-KB4 Q-N3 17 P-QR3 QN-Q4 18 NxN NxN 19 KR-B1

N-B3 20 P-KN4 KR-B1 21 P-N5 PxP 22 PxP N-Q4 23 P-B4 NxB 24 RxN BxP 25 Q-N4 BxRch

26 QxB 0-0-0 27 P-B5 Q-R4 28 P-B6 B-R1 29 P-Q5 PxP 30 Q-B5ch K-N1 31 N-Q7ch K-R2

32 Q-B2ch Q-N3 33 NxQ PxN 34 RxF BxP 35 BxR RxR 36 QxPch R-Q2 37 Q-B4 K-N2 38 B-B2

R-K2 39 Q-KN3 R-QB2 40 K-N1 R-K2 41 P-N3 R-QB2 42 K-N2 R-K2 43 Q-KD4 R-QB2 44 B-K4

BxB 45 QxBch K-R2 46 Q-K5 K-N2 47 P-N4 K-B1 48 K-N3 K-N2 49 P-R4 PxF 50 KxF R-Q2

51 Q-K4ch K-R2 52 P-N5 P-R4 53 Q-KN4 R-QB2 54 K-N3 K-N2 55 Q-K4ch K-R2 56 Q-K6

K-N2 57 Q-Q5ch K-R2 58 K-R4 K-N1 59 Q-Q6 K-N2 60 Q-Q8 R-B5ch 61 Drawn