

Published bi-monthly. Editor: Peter F. Lahdo, 80 Lyle Lane, Nashville 11, Tenn.
 Games Editor: Robert R. Coveyou, 93 Outer Drive, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
 Contributors: Bowen (Nashville), Burris (Knoxville), Finucane, Sr. (Kingsport),
 Smithson (AEDO), Hurt (Memphis), Murphy (Maryville) & Scrivener (Nesbit, Miss)

NASHVILLE TAKES FIRST STATE TEAM TITLE

The first state team title in a meet between cities of Tennessee was won by Nashville by a surprising big margin. Unfortunately the issue of which city has the strongest team was not settled as Memphis did not appear in full force. (Surely Memphis will want to correct this next year). Nevertheless the meet was a tremendous success and promises to become a popular event in the years to come.

Nashville disposed of Memphis with apparent ease with 6:0, and beat Knoxville and Oak Ridge by 4:1 1/2 each. This gave Nashville all three match points and a 15:3 game point score. It is quite likely that this feat (15:3) will never be duplicated or bettered by Nashville or any other city for that matter.

Memphis was two players short to field a full team. An excellent solution was found when Knoxville and Nashville allowed one of their extra players to take the two lower boards and let the points even count for Memphis. In fact in this way Memphis was able to win from Oak Ridge.

Somewhat of an upset was the win of Oak Ridge over Knoxville by 4:2. In previous encounters Knoxville had won but this was on more boards.

As Knoxville won from Memphis each team won at least one match. The following players had the best scores on the respective boards:

- Board 1: 1. Sullivan (Oak Ridge) 3:0, 2. Long (Nashville) 1 1/2:1 1/2
- Board 2: 1. Coveyou (Oak Ridge) and Scott (Nashville) tie 2 1/2:1 1/2
- Board 3: 1. Lahdo (Nashville) 2:0; 2. Gilley (Memphis) 2:1
- Board 4: 1. Bowen (Nashville) 2:1; 2. M. Coveyou (Oak Ridge) 1 1/2:1 1/2
- Board 5: 1. Vinos (Nashville) 3:0; 2. Burnette (Knoxville) 2:1
- Board 6: 1. Aydelott (Nashville) 2:0; 2. Cathers (Knoxville) 2:1

COMMENTS DURING THE MEET - Jerry Sullivan suggested that Tennessee might field a state team and challenge other southern states, such as Alabama. Even better - have a meet of all Southern states and have a team tourney. As Jerry is Southern Regional V.P. why don't you write him your ideas and if you would be interested. His address is 230 N. Purdue Apt. 102, Oak Ridge, Tenn.

John Hurt talked of financial problems of students in going to tournaments. Several Nashvillians would probably be glad to offer assistance if given sufficient time for students wanting to play in the Tennessee Open.

General consensus: Let's have a tourney like this every year.

THE CASE OF THE MISSING GAMES - This is not the title of a Perry Mason mystery. But it still remains a mystery as someone took off with all the games from this event. If you have picked them up by accident, etc. please send them to Robert Coveyou. And if you would like to see your game(s) published send them to Bob Coveyou, 93 Outer Drive, Oak Ridge, Tenn. REWARD \$2 for return of games.

MIDDLETON LEADS MEMPHIS CITY TOURNEY

Ed Middleton took the lead in this eight player double round robin event after eleven rounds. But there are still three rounds to go and several players still have a chance to win. Troy Armstrong, another junior, took the lead at the half-way point but then lost two games in succession. The scores after eleven rounds with a few games yet not reported are:

- | | | | |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| 1. Middleton | 7 1/2:2 1/2 | 5. Wright | 5:5 |
| 2. Armstrong | 7:3 | 6. Scrivener | 5:6 |
| 3-4. Hurt | 6 1/2:4 1/2 | 7. Gilley | 2:9 |
| 3-4. Woaks | 6 1/2:4 1/2 | 8. O'Bannon | 1 1/2:7 1/2 |

For games from this event see page 5.

In addition there is a 12 player Class "B" tourney and a similar group are playing in a Class "C" Division. Results in the Class "B" tourney are after five rounds: Mike France 4 1/2 and R. McDowell 4 1/2 tied for first, Lyle Priddy and David Bussell tied for third with 4, 5. Howard Hall 3; 6-7. Frank Garner and Cy Pipkin 2 1/2; 8-9. Jim Mitchell and Carl Schwerin 2; 10. Glen Horton 1; 11. Ed Tapp 0. The first four in the Class "C" tourney are: Dr. B. Patrick 9; L. Weis 8; B. Cornaglio 5 and Norwell 8. The balance of players have minus scores.

PROMOTING CHESS IN HIGH SCHOOLS

Jack Murphy is doing an excellent job in Maryville promoting chess in High Schools. Recently a tourney was held in Blount County in which 20 youngsters took part. He praises the promotion job done by one student - Tom Jobe. He was the only one interested two years ago and now 10 - 30 students attend weekly meetings.

Jack further states: "High school students are most approachable by other students - our best bet for Junior Chess in Tennessee is to encourage chess as a fun game (which it is) and a competitive game by sponsoring tournaments with low entries - giving book prizes or USCF memberships. Most cities or counties would be interested in sponsoring chess activities, if they had help from people that are willing to teach." (A good point, if we find the teachers we will have pupils).

Nashville has just again begun a program to start chess activity in high schools. In this connection Dr. Sommer gave a talk before principals of Nashville High Schools with encouraging results.

WHAT IS YOUR CITY DOING TO PROMOTE CHESS AMONG YOUNG PEOPLE? Tell us about it so we can publish it here and others may benefit. To keep chess growing - our best bet is to acquaint young people with the game.

BOWEN SWEEPS NASHVILLE INVITATIONAL

Al Bowen made it a clean sweep to regain the Nashville City Championship by winning all five games. Tom Heintscholl of Huntsville took second place losing only to Bowen (see game in this issue). The following placed 3rd - 6th with 3 1/2 points in this order: DuPlantier and Lahde both of Nashville, Williamson of Huntsville and Dr. Sommer of Nashville.

NASHVILLE INVITATIONAL, 1963		Rd1	Rd2	Rd3	Rd4	Rd5	Score	S.M.	USCF
1. Bowen, Albert	Nashville, Tenn.	W13	W7	W2	W9	W3	5 : 0	15	1858
2. Heintscholl, T.J.	Huntsville, Ala.	W16	W17	L1	W10	W9	4 : 1	13	1750?
3. DuPlantier, Don	Nashville, Tenn.	W6	W15	W4	D5	L1	3 1/2 : 1 1/2	17 1/2	1874
4. Lahde, Peter	Nashville, Tenn.	W12	W10	L3	W13	D5	3 1/2 : 1 1/2	13	1909
5. Williamson, Ken	Huntsville, Ala.	D9	W11	W17	D3	D4	3 1/2 : 1 1/2	13	1905
6. Sommer, Robert	Nashville, Tenn.	L3	W16	D12	W11	W14	3 1/2 : 1 1/2	11	1707
7. Herring, Jim	Huntsville, Ala.	W20	L1	L10	W18	W12	3 : 2	11 1/2	1301?
8. Aydolott, J.F.	Nashville, Tenn.	D11	L9	W20	D12	W13	3 : 2	9	1843
9. Vinos, Konnoth	Nashville, Tenn.	D5	W8	W14	L1	L2	2 1/2 : 2 1/2	17 1/2	1805
10. Deaton, Torrell	Huntsville, Ala.	W14	L4	W7	L2	D11	2 1/2 : 2 1/2	14 1/2	1530
11. Bokor, Zoltan	Nashville, Tenn.	D8	L5	W16	L6	D10	2 : 3	14 1/4	1657
12. Crull, G. N.	Huntsville, Ala.	L4	W19	D6	D8	L7	2 : 3	14 1/4	?
13. Nicolson, Poul	Birmingham, Ala.	L1	W20	W15	L4	L8	2 : 3	14 1/2	?
14. Roberts, Barney	Huntsville, Ala.	L10	W18	L9	W17	L6	2 : 3	11 1/2	1767
15. Smithson, Knox	Tullahoma, Tenn.	W18	L3	L13	L16	W19	2 : 3	9 1/2	1604
16. Cox, Robert	Huntsville, Ala.	L2	L6	L11	W15	D20	1 1/2 : 3 1/2	12	1607
17. Wright, Willaim	Nashville, Tenn.	W19	L2	L5	L14	D18	1 1/2 : 3 1/2	12	UNR
18. Witty, Walter	Huntsville, Ala.	L15	L14	W19	L7	D17	1 1/2 : 3 1/2	9 1/2	1612
19. Hyde, Perry	Huntsville, Ala.	L17	L12	L18	W20	L15	1 : 4	7 1/2	?
20. Anderson, Hubert	Huntsville, Ala.	L7	L13	L8	L19	D16	1/2 : 4 1/2	8	?

AEDC TOURNEY AGAIN WON BY EDENFIELD

Emmett Edenfield won the AEDC tourney for the second year in the row. He only gave up one draw to third placed Griffith. E. Harris took second. The results were:

	Rd1	Rd2	Rd3	Rd4	Rd5	Rd6	Score	Tic-Br.	Ladder	Rating
1. Edenfield	W18	W13	W2	W8	W4	D3	5 1/2 : 1/2			1724
2. Harris	W15	W7	L1	W10	W11	W5	5 : 1			1631
3. Griffith	L7	W15	W17	W11	W6	D1	4 1/2 : 1 1/2			1659
4. Ditz	W16	W11	W9	L5	L1	W7	4 : 2	13-21		1605
5. Erickson	W17	L6	W18	W4	W8	L2	4 : 2	13-20		1668
6. Smithson	W 19	W5	L8	W7	L3	W10	4 : 2	13-19 1/2		1580
7. Steinel	W3	L2	W13	L6	W12	L4	3 : 3	15		1475
8. Jaccoks	W22	W12	W6	L1	L5	L9	3 : 3	13 1/2		1504
9. Brinson	W14	W16	L4	L12	L10	W8	3 : 3	13		1468
10. Todd	L11	W20	W12	L2	W9	L6	3 : 3	12		1474
11. Christophor	W10	L4	W14	L3	L2	D12	2 1/2 : 3 1/2	15 1/2		1483
12. Temple	W20	L8	L10	W9	L7	D11	2 1/2 : 3 1/2	11 1/2		1574
13. Boyor	W21	L1	L7	Withdraw			1 : 2			1534

The above results are from the A. Flight. Due to lack of space we have to give the B. Flight results in short. 14. Latremore 4:2; 15. Broughton 3:3 10 1/2 TB; 16. Davis 3:3(7); 17. Armstrong 2:4(13); 18. D. Todd 2:4(12); 19. Dohogno 2:4(11); 20. Reel 2:4(10 1/2); 21. White 0:3 withdraw and 22. Gipson 0:1 withdraw.

TENNESSEE STATE TEAM TOURNAMENT, 1963
MATCH RESULTS

ROUND 1

NASHVILLE	
Long (w)	1
Scott (b)	1
Lahde (w)	1
Bowen (b)	1
Vines (w)	1
Aydelott (b)	1
	<u>6</u>

KNOXVILLE	
Tsitsekliis (w)	0
Southern (b)	0
Burris (w)	0
Murphy (b)	0
Burnette (w)	1
Gathers (b)	1
	<u>2</u>

MEMPHIS	
Hurt (b)	0
Wright (w)	0
Gilley (b)	0
Mc Dowell (w)	0
Jobe (b)	0
Tribke (w)	0
	<u>0</u>

OAK RIDGE	
Sullivan (b)	1
Coveyou, R. (w)	1
Hutton (b)	1
Coveyou, M. (w)	1
Shor (b)	0
Plumlee (w)	0
	<u>4</u>

ROUND 2

NASHVILLE	
Long (w)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Scott (b)	1
Bowen (w)	0
Sommer (b)	1
Vines (w)	1
Aydelott (b)	1
	<u>$\frac{4}{2}$</u>

MEMPHIS	
Hurt (w)	0
Wright (b)	0
Gilley (w)	1
Mc Dowell (b)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Jobe (w)	1
Tribke (b)	1
	<u>$\frac{3}{2}$</u>

KNOXVILLE	
Tsitsekliis (b)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Southern (w)	0
Burris (b)	1
Murphy (w)	0
Burnette (b)	0
Gathers (w)	0
	<u>$\frac{1}{2}$</u>

OAK RIDGE	
Sullivan (b)	1
Coveyou, R. (w)	1
Hutton (b)	0
Coveyou, M. (w)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Shor (b)	0
Plumlee (w)	0
	<u>$\frac{2}{2}$</u>

ROUND 3

OAK RIDGE	
Sullivan (w)	1
Coveyou, R. (b)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Hutton (w)	0
Coveyou, M. (b)	0
Shor (w)	0
Plumlee (b)	0
	<u>$\frac{1}{2}$</u>

KNOXVILLE	
Tsitsekliis (w)	0
Southern (b)	1
Burris (w)	0
Murphy (b)	1
Burnette (w)	1
Gathers (b)	1
	<u>4</u>

NASHVILLE	
Long (b)	0
Scott (w)	$\frac{1}{2}$
Lahde (b)	1
Bowen (w)	1
Sommer (b)	1
Vines (w)	1
	<u>$\frac{4}{2}$</u>

MEMPHIS	
Hurt (b)	1
Wright (w)	0
Gilley (b)	1
Mc Dowell (w)	0
Jobe (b)	0
Tribke (w)	0
	<u>2</u>

RESULTS

CITY	Match Points	Game Points	Games		
			Won	Lost	Drawn
1. Nashville	3	15	14	2	2
2. Oak Ridge	1	8	7	9	2
3. Knoxville	1	$7\frac{1}{2}$	7	10	1
4. Memphis	1	$5\frac{1}{2}$	7	12	1

FROM THE OAK RIDGE MARCH 30/50

COLLE SYSTEM		White: Mike Covoyou	Black: Larry Latham
1. P-Q4	P-Q4	16. N-N5ch	K-N1
2. N-KB3	P-K3(a)	17. Q-R5	R-K1
3. P-K3	N-KB3	18. QxPch	K-R1
4. P-D3(b)	P-QN3(e)	19. NxP	BxPch(f)(j)
5. B-Q3	N-D3(d)	20. K-R1	D-K4
6. QN-Q2	B-N2	21. NxQ	R/DlxN
7. O-O	D-K2	22. Q-R5ch	K-N1
8. R-K1	O-O	23. Qx3	N-Q4
9. P-QN4(e)	D-Q3(f)	24. Q-N3	R-K3
10. P-N5	N-K2	25. P-QD4	N-D3
11. P-K4	FxP	26. B-R6	R-Q2
12. NxP	NxN	27. D-N5	R-D2
13. RxD!(g)	BxR	28. DxD	R/2xD
14. JxD	R-D1	29. QxDP	R-R3ch
15. DxPch(h)	KxD	30. K-N1	R-K7

- Notes by Robert R. Covoyou
- (a) There is no present necessity to lock up the QD; 2... N-KB3 is better.
 - (b) Inexact; White should not play this until Black has played ... P-QD4, since White may be able to do without it, thus saving a tempo for the attack. Better are 4. QN-Q2 or 4. D-Q3, preferably the first.
 - (c) This move may just be good, if played with the intention of exchanging White's KB by D-QR3. But Black must get castled first, else Q-R4ch will win a piece! However, Black does not seem to have this idea.
 - (d) As almost always, bad because it blocks the QDP. Also, the Knight is not protected by a Pawn.
 - (e) This move is played with the purpose of enticing Black to play his next move. The B on Q3 no longer defends the king-side, KN4 in particular. A good idea but not necessarily a sound one.
 - (f) Black obliges, forcing K2 for his Knight.
 - (g) Clever, but not quite sound.
 - (h) The point of White's last seven moves.
 - (i) Now everything clicks. 16... K-N3; 17. Q-Q3ch, D-B4; 18. NxKP, Q-Q2; 19. NxRqh, RxD... would leave White with less than ample compensation for the piece down.
 - (j) Missing his last chance! 19... D-K4!; 20. NxQ, R/DlxN; 21. Q-R5ch, K-N1; 22. QxD??, N-Q4!; 23. D-KB4!, RxD; 24. BxR... although White still has a winning endgame.

GAMES FROM THE KNOXVILLE OPEN

White: Tom Finucane, Sr.		Black: Mike Covoyou	
		GARO-KANN	DEFENCE
1. P-K4	P-QB3	16. R-D3	QxKP
2. P-KD4	P-Q4	17. Q-KE2	O-O
3. PxP	PxP	18. R-K1	Q-N4
4. N-KB3	N-QB3	19. R-N3	Q-D3
5. P-B3	N-B3	20. Q-K3	Q-K2
6. P-Q4	Q-D2	21. Q-R6	P-KN3
7. B-Q3	B-N5	22. P-KN4	P-KD4
8. O-O	P-K3	23. P-R5	Q-N2
9. QN-Q2	D-Q3	24. Q-K3	P-K4
10. P-KR3	DxN	25. Q-N5	Q-B3
11. Nx3!?	DxP	26. Q-R6	Q-N2
12. Bx3	Qx3	27. Q-Q2	P-K5
13. Q-K2	Q-N6	28. D-D2	Q-K4
14. N-K5	NxN	29. R-N5	K-N2
15. PxN	N-Q2	30. R-K3	N-B3

- SICILIAN DEF. (by Transposition)
- White: Southern Black: Tsitsoklis
1. P-K4, P-Q3; 2. P-KB4, N-KB3; 3. N-QB3, P-KN3; 4. P-Q4, B-N2; 5. N-D3, O-O; 6. B-K2, P-B4; 7. O-O, PxP; 8. NxP, N-D3; 9. N-N3, P-QR4; 10. K-R1, P-R5; 11. N-Q4, N-Q2; 12. N/3-N5, N-B4; 13. B-B3, D-Q2; 14. P-D3, NxN; 15. NxN, Q-D2; 16. Q-K2, R-R4; 17. R-Q1, R-D1; 18. B-K3, N-K3; 19. QR-D1, P-QN4; 20. Q-Q2, Q-N1; 21. N-B2, D-QB3; 22. N-N4, B-N2; 23. N-Q5, DxD; 24. Qx3, N-B2; 25. Q-Q2, R-R3; 26. Q-K2, N-K3; 27. R-Q5, N-B2; 28. R-Q2, N-K3; 29. R/1-Q1, P-R6; 30. B-Q4, NxDP; 31. Q-K3, N-K3; 32. PxP, Q-R1; 33. Q-Q3, RxD; 34. Drawn (a)
- (a) Tsitsoklis asked for a draw several times as this sowed up the championship for him.

GAMES FROM THE MEMPHIS CITY TOURNEY, 1963

SICILIAN DEFENCE		White: Middleton	Black: Wright
1. P-K4	P-Q4	9. O-O-O	Q-D2
2. N-KB3	P-Q3	10. P-KN4	P-N4
3. P-Q4	PxP	11. BxN	NxB
4. NxF	N-KB3	12. P-N5	N-Q2
5. N-QB3	P-QB3	13. P-QR3	D-N2
6. D-KN5	P-K3	14. D-R3	R-QD17(b)
7. P-B4	D-K2(a)	15. DxF!	PxD
8. Q-D3	QN-Q2	16. NxKP	Q-B5
			17. NxFch
			18. N-E5
			19. RxF!
			20. Q-R5ch
			21. R-N6ch! d
			22. R-N7
			23. RxBch
			24. P-N6
			25. K-N1

- Notes by Peter Lohde
- (a) In my game with Middleton I varied here with 7... P-R3. See game in Sep-Oct 62 issue. The text is more usual though.
 - (b) John Hurt says 14... N-D4 is necessary here. After the text White gets a well-known attack in the Sicilian by exchanging a Bishop for 3 Pawns.
 - (c) Of course not 19... DxR and 20. NxBch wins the Queen.
 - (d) Excellent. If 21... FxR; 22. QxFch and after either K-D1 or K-R1; 23. Q-N7 mate. A brilliantly played game by Middleton.

FRENCH DEFENCE		White: Hurt	Black: Gilloy
1. P-K4	F-K3	9. P-D3	D-KN5
2. P-Q4	P-Q4	10. C-E2	R-K1
3. N-Q2	P-QE4	11. P-KR3	D-R4
4. FxP	KPxP	12. P-KN4	C-Q3!
5. KN-B3	N-KB3	13. K-N2	D-KN3
6. FxQP	KPxP	14. N-N3	B-N5
7. B-Q3	O-O	15. B-KN5(b)	N-K5
8. O-O	N-B3	16. B-R4	QR-B
			17. P-R3
			18. NxF
			19. P-KL4
			20. P-B5
			21. FxB
			22. B-B2
			23. BxR!(f)
			24. K-B3 and resigns(g)

- Notes by John Hurt
- (a) Offering the Bishop for what is probably a winning attack.
 - (b) 15. QN-Q4 may have been better for White.
 - (c) Up to this point Black has played extremely well.
 - (d) Hoping for BxB ... Q-N6ch
 - (e) Not 21... BxB; 22. PxBPch, K-B; 23. PXR(Q)ch, KxQ; 24. BxN.
 - (f) 23. R-KN wins for White.
 - (g) Black can win with either RxB or PxBch

KING'S INDIAN DEFENCE		White: Gilloy	Black: Wright
1. P-Q4	N-KB3	10. P-N6	B-R1
2. P-QB4	P-KN3	11. P-R7ch	K-B2
3. N-CB3	B-N2	12. Q-N3	P-B4
4. P-K4	O-O	13. B-N2	N-QB3
5. P-K5	N-K1	14. P-K6ch	QBxP
6. P-KR4	P-KR4	15. P-Q5	B-Q2
7. P-KN4	PxP	16. PxF	PxP
8. P-R5	P-KB4	17. O-O-O	QR-N1
9. B-N5	P-Q3	18. N-N5	N-B3
			19. R-K1
			20. B-R6
			21. P-B3
			22. QxFch
			23. PxF
			24. N-B3
			25. RxF
			26. N-K5ch
			27. RxB

RETI OPENING		White: Wright	Black: Hurt
1. N-KB3	N-KB3	11. P-E3	N-Q5
2. P-KN3	P-Q4	12. NxF	QxN
3. B-N2	P-K3	13. R-N	KR-Q
4. O-O	B-K2	14. R-Q	Q-B6
5. P-Q3	O-O	15. N-B	RxR
6. QN-Q2	N-B3	16. QxR	R-Q
7. P-K4	PxP	17. Q-K2	Q-R4
8. PxF	P-K4	18. P-QB4	B-QB4
9. P-KR3	B-K3	19. N-K3	P-B3
10. Q-K2	P-KR3	20. N-B5	R-Q2
			21. P-KN4
			22. K-R2
			23. P-N4
			24. B-N2
			25. B-QB
			26. P-B5
			27. NPB
			28. B-K3
			29. Q-B3
			30. QxB??

FRENCH DEFENCE		White: Gilloy	Black: Scrivener
1. P-K4	P-K3	10. R-Q1	N-B5
2. P-Q4	P-Q4	11. Q-B1	NxB
3. N-Q2	FxP	12. QxN	P-QN3
4. NxF	N-Q2	13. P-KR4	P-KB4
5. N-KB3	KN-B3	14. N-B3	R-B3
6. B-KN5	B-K2	15. N-K5	B-N2
7. BxN	NxB	16. P-R5	R-R3
8. B-Q3	O-O	17. Q-N3	Q-K1
9. Q-K2	N-Q4	18. N-K2	B-KB3
			19. N-KB4
			20. O-O
			21. KR-K
			22. Q-N3
			23. N/5-N6
			24. RxFP
			25. R/1-K1
			26. FxPch
			27. NxF
			28. Q-N3

B-KB3 & drawn

GAMES FROM THE NASHVILLE INVITATIONAL, 1963

FRENCH DEFENCE		White: Lahde	Black: Crull
1. P-K4	F-K3	9. N-B3	P-B4
2. P-Q4	P-Q4	10. PXP	BXP
3. N-QB3	N-KB3	11. N-K5	BXPch!(b)
4. B-KN5	B-K2	12. K-Q2(?)	(c) B-Q5
5. P-K5	KN-Q2	13. N-N4	QN-Q2
6. P-KR4	F-B3	14. K-B1	BxN
7. PXP(a)	NXP	15. PxB	Q-B2
8. B-Q3	O-O	16. R-R3!	NxN
			17. QxN
			18. BxN
			19. R-B5
			20. BXPch!(d)
			21. Q-R5ch
			22. Q-K8
			23. R-B8!
			24. K-Q2!

- Notes by Peter Lahde
 (a) 7. Q-R5 and 7. B-Q3 are stronger alternatives than the text.
 (b) A sound "sac" it seems.
 (c) To disprove it White has to accept of course. If 12. KxB, N-K5dbch; 13. K-K2, Q-N3; 14. BxN with complications not unfavorable to White. But Black may have a better line. Anyone see a better possibility for Black?
 (d) A desperate sacrifice.
 (e) Here 22... B-N5 wins for Black as pointed out by Ken Williams.
 (f) He should try K-R3 but after 14. K-Q2! White still wins.

GUICO PIANO		White: Bowen	Black: Heintschel
1. P-K4	P-K4	8. BxN	PxB
2. N-KB3	N-QB3	9. Q-Q2	BxN?
3. B-B4	N-B3	10. Q-R6!!	B-KN5
4. N-B3	B-B4	11. NxBPch	QxN
5. P-Q3	P-Q3	12. QxQ	N-Q5
6. B-KN5	O-O	13. Q-N5ch	K-R1
7. N-Q5	B-KN5	14. QxB	NxBPch
			15. K-Q2
			16. RxB
			17. R-KB1
			18. BxBP
			19. Q-R4
			20. BxR
			21. P-N3
			22. R-B7

KING'S INDIAN DEFENCE		White: Sommer	Black: Roberts
1. N-KB3	N-KB3	8. P-K4	PXP
2. P-QB4	P-KN3	9. NXP	N-K4
3. P-KN3	B-N2	10. Q-K2	Q-B2
4. B-N2	P-Q3	11. P-QN3	P-QR3
5. P-Q4	O-O	12. B-N2	N(B3)-Q2
6. O-O	QN-Q2	13. N-Q5	Q-Q1
7. N-B3	P-B4	14. QR-Q1	P-K3
			15. N-B4
			16. N-B3
			17. BxN
			18. QxB
			19. P-K5!
			20. QxP
			21. N-Q5!

RUY LOPEZ		White: Williamson	Black: Bokor
1. P-K4	P-K4	9. BxN	BXPch
2. N-KB3	N-QB3	10. K-R1	QFxB
3. B-N5	N-B3	11. QxN	B-QB4
4. F-Q4	NxKP	12. N-N5	P-KN3
5. FXP	B-N5ch	13. B-K3	B-B4?
6. F-B3	B-B4	14. Q-KR4	P-KR4
7. O-O	O-O?	15. BxB	R-K1
8. Q-Q5!	P-QR3	16. Q-N3	Q-Q4
			17. B-K3
			18. N-QR3
			19. B-Q4
			20. QR-K1
			21. RxB
			22. R-K1
			23. Q-B2!
			24. QxPmate

FRENCH DEFENCE		White: Herring	Black: Crull
1. P-K4	P-K3	10. Q-K2	BxN
2. P-Q4	F-Q4	11. KBxN	NxB
3. P-K5	F-QB4	12. FxB	NxN?
4. P-QB3	N-QB3	13. Q-K5!	N-B7ch?
5. N-KB3	KN-K2	14. K-Q2	NxR
6. FxI	N-N3	15. QxNP	R-KB1
7. N-Q4	N(N3)xI	16. B-Q6!	Q-R4ch
8. B-KB4	BXP	17. N-B3	B-N4
9. B-QN5	B-Q2	18. QxRch	K-Q2
			19. QxR?!
			20. RxB
			21. Q-B8ch
			22. R-QB1
			23. RxFch
			24. Q-B5ch
			25. F-B5ch
			26. F-N3mate

SICILIAN DEFENCE		White: Cox	Black: Smithson
1. F-K4	F-QB4	9. B-Q3	F-Q4
2. N-KB3	N-QB3	10. O-O	FxI
3. F-Q4	PxI	11. FxI	B-Q2
4. NxI	F-K4	12. B-KN5	Q-N3ch
5. N-N3	N-KB3	13. K-R1	N-K1
6. N-QB3	B-N5	14. Q-B3	N-Q1?
7. F-KB3	BxNch	15. B-K7	N-K3
8. FxB	O-O	16. BxR	N-N4?
			17. Q-R5
			18. B-K7
			19. Q-N6
			20. N-B5!
			21. BxP!
			22. RxB
			23. B-B4ch
			24. N-K6!