MARCH-APRIL, 1961

No. 14

TCA dues \$1 a year

Published Bi-monthly; Editor: Peter P. Lahde, 80 Lyle Lane, Nashville 11, Tenn.
Postal Chess Editor: Robert R. Coveyou, 93 Outer Drive, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
Contributors: A. Bowen (Nashville), R. Coveyou (Oak Ridge), T. Finucane(Kingsport),
H. Hairfield (AEDC), J. Murphy (Maryville), Lyle Priddy (Memphis).

NASHVILLE'S WIN OVER AEDC CLOSE WITH 11항:8항.

The AEDC club invaded Nashville with a determined effort and did remarkably well losing only by $8\frac{1}{2}:11\frac{1}{2}$. The match was played at the regular meeting place of the Nashville Club. Twenty players met twice on ten boards to determine which is the better team.

As the host Nashville had the Black pieces in the morning; winning only by the narrow margin of $5\frac{1}{2}$: $4\frac{1}{2}$. A little better was Nashville's win in the afternoon

with 6:4.

Nashville scored four sweeps. They were obtained by Bowen, Lahde, DuPlantier, and Groom. AEDC countered with two by Hairfield and Temple. No doubt Temple is their most improved player. (His opponent remarked to his losing to Temple-too much vacation, or too much Temple). Erickson also achieved a plus score. On three boards the points were split.

An interesting sidelight was that both teams had one member each from Murfreesboro. O'Donnell for Nashville and Norton for AEDC. They missed by one board playing each other.

Here are the results:

Don DuPlantier Jesse Aydelott Gary Ratliff Koellein, Edwin Norbert Mikun Bob Groom Totals Bill Griffith Bill Griffith John Templo E, Erickson Knox Smithson Norton Norton V. Latremore C. Broughton	1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 8 2
--	---

JAMES WRIGHT LEADS IN MEMPHIS TOURNEY.

The Memphis city tourney, which is a double round robin event in which nine players are in, just passed the half way mark. James Wright took a narrow lead by 8:3. He is closely followed by Hunter Weaks, $7\frac{1}{5}i2\frac{1}{2}$. The results indicate that the players are quite evenly matched. Even the top two players suffered defeat, Wright losing to Middleton and Hurt; Weaks losing to Wright and Priddy. Weaks began with five wins until he was stopped by Wright. Middleton is playing well by winning over Wright and Hurt. Lyle Priddy also scored respetable wins over Weaks and Middleton. John Hurt, a newconer to Memphis, has wins over Wright and Priddy. Lipman is doing well being able to draw Wiseman, Wright and Weaks.

are the current resu	SCORE	WOM	LOST	DRAWN	GAMES LEFT
 James Wright Hunter Weaks 	8:3 7=:2=	7 7	2	1	6
3. Eddie Middleton	65:35 55:35	6	3	1	7
4. Lyle Priddy 5. John Hurt	52:32	52	3	1 3	7 9
6. Bill Wiseman 7. Clif Lipman	クラ・ファ ろき・5章	2	4	3	7
8. Mark Gilley 9. Harry Darnell	3 :8 0 :10	0	10	0	6

BOWEN REPEATS AS NASHVILLE CITY CHAMP.

Albert Bowen again took top honors by winning the Nashville Invitational with 42:12 conceding only one draw to Peter Lahde. Larry Mayhew took a surprising 2nd with 4:1.

In all 18 players took part including four from AEDC. Eight players became

new USOF members. There were no forfeits.

Tied for third with 31:12 were Harry Hairfield and Don DuPlantier. Fifth thru eighth were Peter Lahde, Robert Sommer, J.F. Aydelott, and Norbert Mikun, in that order all with a 3:2 score. John Temple, Bill Griffith and Tom Farr had an even 21:21 score. Temple showed the most improvement of any player by winning over Aydelott, and drawing DuPlantier, Dr. Sommer, and Lahde.

The first round began with two major upsets: Temple winning over Aydelott, and Ratliff defeating DuPlantier. Gary R. was able to score only another draw

while losing three.

There were a total of 45 games played. Black was able to get 23 wins while White won only 14 times. Eight games were drawn.

Here are the results:

POSTAL CHESS.

This is a reminder that the deadline for entering the Tennessee Postal Chess Tournament is July 1, 1961. Anyone interested should write to Robert Coveyou, 93 Outor Drive, Oak Ridge, Tenn., giving your name and address.

So far the following have entered: Coveyou, Lahde, Scrivener, Selby, Wills, Kuperstock, and Lipman. The following have indicated that they would be interested Griffith, Hairfield, Temple and Glover from AEDC, and Dr. Sommer and Ratliff from Nashville.

THREE GAMES IN ONE DAY?

An important decision should be made regarding whether to have three games on one day in the Tennessee Open or not. Bob Scrivener and Hunter Weaks say that they simply could not play three games in one day and be playing at their top level. Others have voiced a similar opinion. Scrivener and Weaks even have gone so far as to say that they will not participate in a tourney were three games in a day are obligatory.

This is an important question and requires immediate action. Your editor would like to hear from anyone pro and con who has an opinion on this or even

better - a solution to the problem.

In the Alabama Open last year which was also held on Labor Day Weekend it was solved this way. Those who wanted to or could would play their first round game on Friday evening, then play two on Saturday, and two on Sunday, and one on Monday. Those who could not play Friday would play three on Saturday. Actually they were playing two games on Monday as it was a soven round affair. So the simplest might just be to play two games on Monday. Some of the extra time we could use for a business meeting which is always inadequate and for a speed tourney. Let's hear from you!

GAME OF THE PAST.

This is the fourth game of the Sullivan - Coveyou match of 1959. It was erroneously reported in last issue that their game was from the recent match, but it was from the same match as this game. Note also that White's 14th move should have been B-N5 of course. The deep annotation is again by Bob Coveyou.

	N DEFENCE	White: Bob Co	veyou	Black: Jerry	Sullivan
1. P-K4	P-QB4	11. 0-0	P-KR4	21. BxN	BPxB(n)
2. N-KB3	P-K3(a)	12. Q-N3	Q-K2	22. Q-B5(o)	B-Q2
3. P-Q4	PxP	13. P-QB4	N-B2	23. R-N7	R-QB1
4. NxP	N-KB3	14. P-QR4	P-R5	24. QxP	R-N2
5. N-QB3	B-N5	15. Q-K3(i)	P-KB4(j)	25. R(1)-N1	PK4
6. $B-Q3(b)$	N-QB3(c)	16. PxPep(k)	QxP	26. R-B7	R-0.1
7. NxN(d)	BxNch(e)	17. R-QN1(1)	R-KN1	27. Q-B5	P-Q5(p)
8. PxB	NPxN(f)	18. B-R3(m)	P-Q4	28. BxP	Q-K2
9. P-K5(g)	N-Q4	19. B-Q6	PxP	29. BxR	QxB
10.Q-N4	P-KN3(h)	20. BxP	N-Q4	30. R-Klch	Resigns(q)

- (a) One of the oldest and sharpest forms of the Sicilian Defence, this move has been mostly replaced by the more positional 2... N-KB3 or 2... P-G3. The fact that this move gives up forever pawn control of Black's Q3 sqare before White has committed himself in any way is deemed sufficient by most experts as a reason to try something else.
- (b) Though there is nothing wrong with this move, 6. P-K5, N-Q4; 7. B-Q2 is probably a little stronger.
- (c) Here 6... P-K4 leads to only a little advantage for White.
- (d) Expecting 7... QPXN, again with a little advantage to White.
- (e) This critical weakening of the black squres is certainly not in Jerry's usual positional style.
- (f) Whether to capture in this type of position with the QP, with a solid position; or with the NP, withsome chance of advancing Black's resulting central pawn majority; this is a leading question. We leave it unresolved.
- (g) White feels that the attack must commence. Besides the major excuse for this attack the aforementioned weakness of Black on the dark squares, is the consideration that White should not allow ... P-K4.
- (h) 10... K-B1; 11. B-R3ch, K-N1 looks extremely unhealthy. (Out of the question is 10... 0-0; because of 11. B-R6, P-KN3; 12. BxR winning the exchange.-Ed)
- (i) This move illustrates a useful minor principle: When one has a pronounced advantage on squares of one color, one's pieces are in general more effectively placed on that color. Here a specific advantage is that Q-K3 forbids ... P-QB4 as a reply to B-R3.
- (j) 15. Q-B3 would have prevented this desparate freeing attempt which might almost be an additional reason for choosing 15. Q-K3.
- (k) A difficult decision whether to open the position and try to get an attack against Black's exposed King, or to play for a terrific bind by 16. B-R3, to follow with QR-N1. The decision would have been easier had White seen the tactical possibility on his next move.
- (1) Cortainly not bad; White forestalls the development of the Black QR and threatens B-N2. But White has better: 17. Q-B4!!. If 17... QxQ; 18. BxQ, the attack on the Knight wins the KNP, and the game. If 17... QxR; 18. BxPch K-K2 (Not K-Q1) 19. Q-N5ch, K-B1; (19... Q-B3; 20. B-R3ch, 21. BxPch or 19... K-Q3; 20. P-B5mate pure, at that) 20. B-R3ch and mate in three: 20... P-Q3; 21. BxPch, K-N1; 22. B-R5ch, etc.
- (m) Even now, 18. G-B4, GXC; 19. BxC, N-GR3; 20. B-G6 leaves Black practically in Zugzwang (German for forced moves.). White plays positionally, but does not combine this with sharp tactical calculation and Black almost escapes.
- (n) It was White's (correct) judgment that this position was atill much in his favor.
- (o) Another good positional move which overlooks a favorable tactical opportunity. 22. P-QB4 is very strong since 22... PxP or 22... P-Q5 lose to 23. Q-K4. Hence Black must play 22... Q-B4; 23. PxP, QxP; 24. KR-Q1, and Black is quite obviously lost.
- (p) This mistake only accellerates Black's collapse.
- (q) The only game I won in the first match.
- CREDIT WHERE CREDIT IS DUE. We said in last issue that Harry Hairfield directed the AEDC tourney, but instead Bill Griffith did the fine job.

NEWS IN BRIEF.

A "B" tournament is also taking place in Memphis along with the city champion—ship. At about the half way point the scores in this double round robin event are as follows: 1. O'Bannon 8-1; 2. Ralph King 8-3; 3. Chuck McLafferty $7\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$; 4. Mark Kennedy $5\frac{1}{2}-3\frac{1}{2}$; 5. Carl Schwerin 5-1; 6. Jerry Dobbs 5-4; 7. Richard Mitchell 4-3; 8. Frank Garner 3-6; 9. Bob Young 2-8; 10. James Beene 1-7; and 11. Ralph Martin 0-10.

High school chess is picking up in Nashville. Recently Father Ryan High S. had a 67 player Swiss, which was won by Gary Ratliff. Matches between Nashville high schools have been scheduled.

Sewart AFB had an eight player round robin tourney. More details about this later. Three of the participants are members of the Nashville Chess Club.

Several Memphis players have taken part in tournaments recently. The Delta Invitational at Greenville, Miss. was one. John Hurt was third, Scrivener fourth, Middleton 7th, Priddy 8th, Wright 9th all with 3-2. Weaks had $2\frac{1}{2}$ and Spiegel 2.

Another tourney was the Lousiana-Miss. Open won by McAuley with 5-0. Memphis players Weaks and Hurt scored $\frac{3}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$ for 5th and 7th, Scrivener had $\frac{2}{2}$.

The match between Memphis and Nashville is scheduled for May 20.

THREE CHANCES FOR A FREE SUBSCRIPTION.

The following is a brilliant game won by Uncle Bob Scrivener in which he surprises his opponent with three sacrifices. The game was played at the Jackson Open, Jan. 14, 1961. The comments are Uncle Bobs own.

QUEEN'S		White: R. S. Scriver	ner	Black: John Poole	
1. P-C4	N-KB3	8. P-GB3	NxN	15. N-N5ch! PxN	
2. B-B4	P-GB4	9. PxN	N-C2	16. PxPch K-N1	(e)
3. P-K3	PxP	10. N-B3	P-K3	17. R-R8ch! K-B2	
4. PxP	P-CN3	11. B-CN5(b)	B-K2	18. C-R5ch P-N3	, ,
5. N-C2	P-C4	12. B-G3 (c)	0-0	19. (-R7ch K-K1	
6. KN-B3	B-N2	13. P-KR4!(d)	P-B3	20. (xPmate(g)	
7. N-K5(a)	(N-C2	14. BxPch!	KxB	411	

- (a) The placement of the White pieces was explained to your commentator in 1910 by Frank Marshall. The St. Louis group dubbed it the Business Man.
- (b) This development of the White KB is in line with Keres and Smyslow, each playing thusly in recent tournaments. It is entirely different from the Marshall variation.
- (c) White changed his mind here as he noted Poole looked tired and was drinking much coffee, so White changed over to the Marshall plan.
- (d) Marshall said: "When you start pushing the pawns, if he is alive he will push'em back at you and that's just what you want."
- (e) This move loses by force. Kibitzers in Jackson said Black could escape by K-N3. Your commentator is too lazy to dispute them but will give a year's subscription, to the first three showing such proof, to the TENNESSEE CHESS NEWS.
- (f) If 17... KxR; 18. C-R5ch and rate in three.
- (g) Had Black at move 17 played KxR; 18. G-R5ch, K-N1; L9. P-N6, RxB; 20. G-R7ch, K-B1; 21. G-R8mate, White could have ended with four pieces down and only one active piece but with a win.

GAMES FROM THE NASHVILLE TOURNEY.

	COREN'S GAMBIT DECLINED	White: John Temple	Black: Don DuPlantier
2.	P-C4 P-C4 P-CB4 P-K3 N-CB3 N-KB3 C-B2 CN-C2 B-B4 P-CB4	6. N-N5(a)	11. B-B7ch K-K2 12. B-G6ch K-G1 13. B-B7ch DRAWN

(a) Let us assume that both players omitted their fourth move and played instead 4.B-B4, P-QB4 at once then 5. N-N5 is bad because of 5.... BPxP; 6. N-B7ch, QxN!; 7. BxC, B-N5ch; 8. Q-Q2, BxQch; 9. KxB, PxP and Black has won 2 Pawns.

GAMES FROM NASHVILLE TOURNEY (CONT.)

			GAMES I	FROM NASHVILL	E TOURNEY (C	ONT.)	
	OLD INDIAN	DEFENCE	1	White: Larry	Mayhew		lack: Al Bov	
1.	P-C4	N-KD3	12.	R-K3	N-KR4 BxB N/2-R3		BxP	G-C2
2.	P-CB4	P-C3	13.	B-K4?	BxB		K-R1	R-B4
	N-KB3	CN-C2		RxB	N/2-B3		BxBch	CxB
		P-KN3	15.	P-KN4	N/2-B3 NxR	26.	C-B3ch	K-N1
	B-B4	B-N2		PxN	NxN PK4		PxP	R-N4
	P-K3	0-0	17.	PxN	P K4	28.	P-KR4	PxP
	B-Q3	P-QB4	18.	B-K3	R-B1		P-R5	R-N4
	0-0	PxP	19.	N-N5	P-KR3	30.	RG1	GB2
		P-N3		NxBP?	KxN		ÇxÇch	KxC
		R-K1		PxPch	K-B1		P-B4	RxPch
		B-N2		€-R5	PxP		K-N1	R-K7
11.	r-Mn)	The TA	~~ •	(0-11)			Resigns	
				twee to got o	arrected nev			
	Sorry scor	e is inco	rrect,	try to get c	Offected Hex	0 01.		
				42 * A NY 1	4 384 1	D	lack: Harry	Uninfield
	STONEWALL	SYSTEM		White: Norber				
	P-G4	P-G4	10.		NxN		KPxBP	PxP
2.	P-K3	11 11	11.	BPXN	N-R2		RKB1	B-N4
3.	B-C3	N-KB3 B-KN5	12.	C-R5 R-KB3 C-R3 C-N3 N×N	P-KB4		BxKBP	BxB/R3
4.	P-KB3	B-02 P-K3	13.	R-KB3	B-Kl		CxB	(-N4
5.	N-C2	P-K3	14.	C-R3	N-N4	23.	B-K6ch	K-R1
	P-B3	B-K2 0-0	15.	C-N3	NxR	24.	RxRch	RxR
	P-KB4	0-0	16.	NxN	D-R4		CxC	BxQ.
8	KN-KB3			P-K4	BxN		BxP	B-K6ch
0	KN-KB3 N-K5	N-B3	18		B-KN5		Resigns	
, •	N-M)	11-27		Dista	2,			
			GAMES 1	FROM THE NASH	VILLE_AEDC M	ATOH		
			OJATIMO .					
	KING'S IND	IAN DEFEN	CE	White: Warre	n Glover	D	lack: Alber	t Bowen
7					ÇxD			PxN
		N-KB3		(-C2	C-ND	66 29 29 2		
		P-IN3		N-KB3?	CXNP		B-K2	C-B3
		B-N2		R-N1	ÇXN P-Ç4		P-CN3	P-GD4
		P-CB3						Ç-R8ch
5.	B-Q3?	-R4ch	10.	0-0-0	N-K5	15.	K-B2	C-N7mate
	7/737G to 0436	D.T. #		F. (* 1.1	*5.5	, .	m 1.4 mm	2.11
	KING'S GAM			Peter Lahde			Emmett Edeni	
1.		P-K4	2.				P-G4ch	
2.	P-KD4			B-B4ch				B-K2
3.	PxP	PxP??	7.	C-R5ch	K K2	10.	PxPdblch	Resigns
4.	Q-R5ch	K-K2						
	GUICO PIAN			: Jesse Aydel	ott	Bla	ack: John Te	emple
		P-K4	10.	NxP	C-K2ch	19.	Ç-B2	KR-K
2.	N-KD3	N-CB3	11.	N-K2?	B-R3:			C-C7!
3.	B-D4	B-B4	12.	P-CN3	GR-G	21.	ÇxÇ	RxC.
4.	P-C3	NB3	13.	Ç-B2	0-0	22.	R⊷K	RxP
5.	P-D3	P-C4	14.	P-GB4	N-N5	23.	P-R4	B-N5
6.	PxP	NxP		C-B5	N-C6ch	24.	N-B	RxRch
7.	B-CN5	P-B3	16.	K-D	NxD	25.	K-N2	RxPch!
8.	BxNch	PxB		CN-B3	NxN		KxR	RxR
	P-04	PxP	18.	NxN	C-C3		N-03	
					• •/	,	White resig	ns
	PHILIDOR'S	DEFENCE	Whit	ce: Gary Ratl:	iff	Dla	ck: E. Eric	kson
1.	P-K4	P-K4		N-KR3	BxB			NxN
	N-KB3	P-C3	11.		N-C2			N-R5
	P-C4	PxP		BxN	PxB			P-N5
	CxP	BC2			N-K4			C-B3ch
		P-QB4(?)			KR-N1		N-K4	PxP
		P-CN4(?)			C-B1			P~KD4
	B-05?	B-B3			R-N4:		C-K3	
-							RxR	PxR
	N-N5	IN-R3	-		N-N3	20.	Resigns	
9.	0-0	BK2	70.	C-C3	NxN			

White missed some good opportunities in the opening, but Black played the latter part of the game well.