TCA Dues \$2 a Year

JANUARY 1970

Volume 12, No. 1

Published bi-monthly. Editor: Peter Lahde, 2609 Sailboat Ct., Nashville, TN 37217 Games Editor: David Burris, P.O. Box 2091, Wilmington, Dela. 19899 Reviews & Openings: Richard Searles, 4250 N. Lee Hwy., Cleveland, Tenn. 37311 Postal Editor: Bill Irwin, Box 6111, Knoxville, Tenn. 37914 TCA President: James A. Sweets, 247 Jarnagin Ave., Chattanooga, Tenn. 37405 Contributors: Searles (Chattanooga), Irwin (Knoxville), Ball (Maryville), Hurt (Memphis C. C.), LaVerne (Oak Ridge) and Price (Murfreesboro)

LOUISIANIANS TAKE TOP TWO SPOTS IN MID-SOUTH

The 10th Mid South Open in Memphis after Thanksgiving was topped by two Louisiana players this year. (Last year the event was dominated by Alabama players.) Winner was Joseph Katz of LSU who scored $4\frac{1}{2}$ points by winning three and drawing three games. Runner-up was E.T.C. Lewis of Covington, La. who scored the same by the same means. Four players scored four points in this order after tie-breaks: 3rd John Hurt (Memphis), 4th Tony Estes (Nashville), 5th Bill Willwers (Lavaca, Ark) and 6th Paul Hargett (Shoffield, Ala.).

The 12 player Amateur was won by Doug Ralston of Madison, a suburb of Nashv., with 5 points. Three players tied with 4 points: Garner and Felt of Memphis and

Cornwell of Madison, Tenn.

There were thirty-one players in both events this year. Nashville actually edged the home team in attendance (12:11). Not too good for the Momphis delegation. Also discouraging was the small number of players from Alabama (none from Huntsville) and Arkansas. We were glad to see Louisiana and Mississippi this year.

Frank Garner did an excellent job in directing the event.

JUNIOR CHESS

Activity in High School Chess has been so great that we are now considering a state-wide High School tournament. This tourney will be a Championship for individual honors and a Team Tournament. Tentative plans are for a two day week-end 5 round Swiss event. A team is to consist of two players from the same high school. But more than one team per school will be permitted. Also a lone player from a school may enter. The tourney is to take place in Nashville one weekend in March, April or May 1970. The entry fee will be very minimal (if any at all), but USCF and TCA membership will be required. There will be team and individual prizes.

But we must hear from at least 10 teams throughout the state that you would be interested in attending. (We have already heard interest in Memphis and Nashville). If you are interested write to: TCA Editor Peter Lahde, 2609 Sailboat Court, Nashville, Tenn. 37217. Tell us how many players we might expect, the school involved,

and your profered date. Any questions you have we will try to answer.

Bill Irwin reports of high school chess activity in the Knoxvillo area which is a first. Four schools now have choss teams. Two matches were played with these results: Knoxvilla Contral - Knox Catholic 5-5 and Knox Catholic - Austin East $5\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$ Other matches are in the making. The first City-County Student Chess Tournament will be held Feb. 21 at the local YMCA. Brian Worley, a well known Tennessee player is to be congratulated for organizing much of this.

Ronald Ross, an instructor at CMA, writes of his interest in starting high school chess activity in the Columbia area. He comes from Georgia where he had engaged in this already. Welcome to Tennessee, Ronald.

For Memphis high school activity see next page.

COMING EVENTS

EAST TENNESSEE OPEN, Jan. 16-17-18. Tournament Director: Alan Kuperstock. 5 round Swiss, USCF rated. 50 moves in 2 hrs. Location: Unitarian Church, 1500 Oak Ridge Turnpike, Oak Ridgo, Tenn. Inquirios: Doug LaVorne, 103 Endicott Lane, Oak Ridgo.
Rounds will be: Round 1 Jan 16 8 PM
Round 2 Jan 17 9 AM
Round 5 Jan 18 1:00 PM

Round 2 Jan 17 9 AM Round 5 Jan 18 1:00 FM Round 3 Jan 17 2:15 FM

Entry fees will be \$5.50 for Juniors and 6.50 for adults. Prizes will depend on ontries, with 20 players this might be 1st \$42, 2nd \$28, 3rd \$14, 4th \$9.50. For those not planning to commute, nearby accommodations are available at the Holiday Inn and the Diplomat Hotel on South Illinois Ave, near the tournament site.

WHITE STATION MEMPHIS HIGH SCHOOL CHAMPION

Ton Momphis High School Teams met for nine Saturdays between October and December 1969 at the McLean Library to determine the Memphis High School League Champion for 1969, the sixth in the series. Five players fought for each team. The winner led by Robert Felt was White Station. The results follow:

1. Whito Station	8 - 1	6. Sheffield	4 - 5
2. Mossick	7 -2	7-8. Westside	3 - 6
3-5. Christian Brothers	5 - 4	7-8. Troadwoll	3 - 6
3-5. Catholic	5 - 4	9. Whitehaven	2 1/2 61/3
3-5. Contral	5 - 4	10. Melrose	$1\frac{1}{3}$ $- 7\frac{1}{3}$

All 50 players are to be congratulated on their good attandance and good sports-manship. John Hurt directed the event.

The Individual High School Championship is taking place Jan. 3, 10, and 17,1970. It is a six round Swiss tournament.

Members of the victorious White Station Team and the Junior Individual Champion are invited to a Breakfast on Feb. 4 by the Optimist Club, co-sponsor of the events.

WITH THE CLUBS

MEMPHIS - The Memphis Chess Club elected the following new officers for 1970: President: Robert Churchill, Treasurer: Louis Weis, Statistician: Hunter Weaks. NASHVILLE - The standings for the top ton in the Nashvillo Chess Club are as follows at the conclusion of 1969. However, several matches are still incomplete.

			DOLOTOT WE OCHOR ST.C. SOTIT	TITCOMPTOCO
1.	Lahdo	1919	6. Carr	1700
2.	DuPlantior	1812	7. Jones	1698
3.	Bowen	1805	8. Dr. Leiserson	1691
4.	Dr. Pierce	1731	9. Schofield	1689
5.	Farr	1730	10. Tew	1666

CHATTANOOGA - TCA President James Swoots writes that the "Chattanooga Times" paper is planning an article about choss after learning that Mr. Swoots is the current Tonnessee State Champion. Perhaps with this kind of publicity a club can get started in Chattanooga.

MURFREESBORO - Jim Price writes that the Murfreesboro Club had to call off their last meetings due to poor attendance. We hope this is only temporary and things can get started again early in 1970.

COLLEGE CHESS

Now Champion of Momphis State U. is Robert Chuchill after winning with 5-0 the five round Swiss, 20 player tourney. Mark Gilley with h-1 was runner-up. Both players will represent the University at the Regionals in Carolina in Feb.

	FI	NANCIAL RE	PORT	
INCOME			EXPENSES	
Balance 1968	(-21.17)		USCF Dues	130.00
Entry Foos (Open-24)	240.00		Rating Foos	22.00
Entry Fees (Amateurs-50)	350.00		Cash Prizes	326.00
Nashville Club Contrib.	50.00		Trophics	86.42
USCF Ducs (Adult)	88.00		TCA Affiliation	20.00
USCF Dues (Junior)	32.00		TCA AffiliationRoom	Serv. 7.00
USCF Dues (Sustaining)	10.00		Mailings & Supplies	23.00
Anonymous Donation	10.00		Tournament Director	<u>35.00</u>
TOTALS	758.83		TOTALS	649.42
Balanco \$ 109.41				
	TCA	Publication	n 1969	
TCA Membership Dues (91)	182.00		Mimeographs & Paper	81.24
TCA Affiliations (4)	16.00		Stenciløs '	7.38
TOTAL	198.00		Postago	41.76
	.22		Supplies, etc.	11.40
Ovorall Balanco \$165	.63		TOTALS	141.78

PAT ON THE BACK - We appreciate the fine commonts that were made about the last TCN issue. We always appreciate your opinions and invite your constructive criticism. Because of our sound fiancial situation this January issue is the largest that has been published to date.

MID-SOUTH OPEN, MEMPHIS 1968

MID-SOUTH AMATEUR, MEMPHIS, 1969

PLAYER 1. Doug Ralston 2. Frank Garner 3. Robert Felt 4. Glenn Cornwell 5. Charles Dalo 6. Joo Roberdeau 7. David Rushing 8. Guy Kooi 9. Craig Collins 10. Eill Wobb 11. Mike Kurck 12. Harold S. Harris Nadison, Tenn Madison, Tenn Madison, Tenn Madison, Tenn Madison, Tenn Mashville, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Mashville, Tenn Madison, Tenn Mathens, Ga. Mashville, Tenn Mashville, T	W12 Conn. D3 D2 W9 W11 Conn. L7 Conn. W6 Conn. W10 Conn. L4 No. L8 No. L5	W12 L5 W4 W9 L2 L1 L6	W5 D4 W8 D2 L1 W10 W12 L3 L11 L6 W9	D3 W6 D1 W10 W7 L2 L5 W11 W12 L4 L8	W2 L1 D5 W7 D3 W8 L4 L6 W10 L9 W12	D4 W5 D6 D1 L2 D3 W11 D9	5 -1 -2 -2 -2 -1 -2 -2 -2 -1 -2 -2 -1 -3 -1 -3 -1 -3 -1 -2 -1 -3 -1 -1 -1 -3 -1 -1 -3 -1	11½ 12	USCF 1666 1619 1602 1393 1611 1370 1377 1475 1053 UMR 1384 1218
--	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	-----------	---

Tournament Director: Frank Garner. Sponsors: Memphis Chess Club and TCA

MID-SOUTH AMATEUR GAMES

KING'S INDIAN DEFENCE White: Doug Ralston Black: Frank Garnor

1 P-Q4 N-KB3 2 P-K3 P-KN3 3 P-QB4 P-B4 4 N-KB3 B-N2 5 N-B3 0-0 6 P-Q5 P-Q3 7 B-K2

N-R3 8 P-K4 N-B2 9 0-0 P-QR3 10 R-N1 R-N1 11 P-QN4 N-Q2 12 Q-B2 P-QN4 13 NPxP QPxP

N-R3 8 P-K4 N-B2 9 0-0 P-QR3 10 R-N1 R-N1 11 P-QN4 N-Q2 12 Q-B2 P-QN4 13 NPxP QPxP

N-R3 8 P-K4 N-B2 9 0-0 P-QR3 10 R-N1 R-N1 11 P-QN4 N-Q2 12 Q-B2 P-QN4 13 NPxP QPxP

N-R5 NxN 20 Bx

N BxB 21 RxB RxR 22 PxR Q-N3 23 Q-B4 R-B1 24 P-K61 PxP 25 P-Q61! K-R1 (Not K-B2 thom

N BxB 21 RxB RxR 22 PxR Q-N3 23 Q-B4 R-B1 24 P-K6! PxP 25 P-Q6!! K-R1 (Not K-B2 thom

N BxB 21 RxB RxR 22 PxR Q-N3 23 Q-B4 R-B1 24 P-K6! PxP 25 P-Q6!! K-R1 (Not K-B2 thom

26 N-N5ch) 26 QxKP QxNP 27 PxP R-K1 Q 28 QxN QxQ 29 RxQ B-B3 30 R-Q8 RxR 31 PxR(Q) +

BxQ 32 N-K5 K-N1 33 K-B1 B-B2? 34 NxP! BxB 35 NxB K-B2 36 K-K2 K-B3 37 K-Q3 K-K44

38 K-B4! KxN 39 KxP P-R4 40 K-Q4 P-R5 41 P-N3ch PxP 42 RPxPch K-B6 43 K-K5 KxP?

44 P-N4 K-N6 45 P-N5 K-N5 46 P-N6 Resigns

RUY LOPEZ White: Craig Collins Black: Glenn Cornwell

1 P-K4 P-K4 2 N-KB3 N-QB3 3 B-N5 P-QR3 4 B-R4 N-B3 5 0-0 B-K2 6 R-K1 P-Q3 7 P-B3
0-0 8 P-Q4 P-QN4 9 B-N3 N-QR4 10 B-B2 P-B4 11 PxKP PxP 12 NxP Q-B2 13 B-B4 R-Q1
14 Q-B3 B-Q3 15 N-Q3 BxB 16 NxB B-N2 17 Q-K3 N-B5 18 Q-K2? QxN 19 P-K5 N-N5
20 P-KN3 Q-N4 21 P-B4 Q-R4 22 P-N3 N/5-K6 23 B-Q3? RxB! 24 Rosigns

RUY LOPEZ White: Glonn Cornwoll Black: Doug Ralston
1 P-K4 P-K4 2 N-KB3 N-QB3 3 B-N5 P-QR3 4 B-R4 N-B3 5 0-0 B-K2 6 R-K1 P-QN4 7 B-N3
P-Q3 8 P-B3 N-QR4 9 Draw agreed

POSTAL CHESS Postal Results

LaVerne - Ousley 1-0	R	obyn - Campion	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
Ousley - Fausey 1-0	··I	rwin - Dyer	1-0
Irwin - Campion 1-0	W	einer - Ball	1-0
Dyer withdraws			

	Postal Ratings a	s of 1/2/70	
Ball	1150	LaVerne	12 <i>5</i> 4
Campion	1186	McNeely	1142
Dver	1108	Nickel	1242
Fausey	1072	Ousley	1192
Garner. M.	1154	Parsons	1296
Hurt	1288	Robyn	1164
Irwin	1254	Weiner	12 <i>5</i> 0
Jollay	1248	All players with start with 1200.	no completed games

Very little interest has so far been shown for the 1970 Fostal Championships. Therefore we will extend entrees to April 1, 1970. Why not join the postal players and work on improving your game at your leisure. Each entry is only \$2. Send these directly to: Bill Irwin, Box 6111, Knoxville, Tenn. 37914.

Here are two postal games from the 1969 Postal Tournament:

CARO KANN DEFENCE 1. P-K4 P-QB3 2. P-Q4 P-Q4 3. N-QB3 PxP 4. NxP B-B4 5. N-N3 B-N3 6. P-KR4 P-KR3 7. P-KB4(a) P-K3 8. N-B3 N-Q2 9. P-R5 B-R2 Annotations by Ke	18. N-Q6ch	Black: BxB KN-B3 Q-B2 B-Q3(b) P-B4(bb) NxN BxP 0-0-0(c) BxN	Charles Ball 19. PxB 20. Q-KN3 21. B-K3 22. BxQRP 23. P-Q7chl 24. R-Q6(g) 25. Q-KB3!!(i) 26. Q-B6mate	Q-B3 KR-M1(d) N-B3 P-QN3?(e) NxP(f) Q-W+(h) Q-R4?(j)
Annotations by N	enneth Inomas	MOOR		

- (a) An interesting line I found buried in MCO's notes.
- (b) Here Black deviates from MCO's 13...0-0-0, 14 N-K5ch.
- (bb) From the result of the game this may be slightly premature, but it does equalize.
- (c) If 17...BxBch 18 RxB NxP White holds the pawn with 19. NxN QxN 20 Q-Q7ch K-B1 21 QxNP and a very slight advantage for White.
- (d) I decided now to gain time by attacking the QRP, but Ball sets a trap into which I fall.
- (e) However, the trap doesn't work because Black's King is now too exposed. I hadn't forsoen this combination but it is in the position.
- (f) The first point. If 24...Q or RxP then 24 Q-N8mate. (g) Gaining time for the final assault.
- (h) If 24...Q-B4 25 Q-Q3 K-B2 26 R-Q1 wins. If 24...Q-N2 25 Q-B3ch Q-B2 26 R-B6 wins. If 24...Q-R1 25 BxP NxB 26 RxN QxP 27 Q-N8ch K-Q2 28 R-N7ch K-B3 29 Q-B7 ch K-Q4 30 R-Q1ch K-K5 31 R-N4ch K-K6 32 Q-B4ch K-K7 33 R-K4mate. If 24...Q-B3 25 Q-QR3 K-N2 26 KR-Q1 27 Q-R4 R-K2 (27...K-B1 28 Q-R6ch Q-N2 29 R-B6mate) 28 BxP winning.
- (i) Preventing the threat 25...Q-N4ch and also 25...K-N2. The text threatens 26 R-B6ch K-N2 27 RxPdbch KxB 28 Q-N7mate
- (j) If 25...K-B2 26 KR-Q1 N-K4 27 Q-B3ch wins the exchange. (27...Q-B4 or N-B5 then 28 BxPch) If 25...K-B2 26 KR-Q1 Q-R5 27 Q-B3ch K-N2 28 BxP wins. If 25...N-K4 (best) 26 Q-B3ch Q-B4 27 RxPch RxR 28 QxQ FxQ 29 BxP winning a pawn and obtaining an easily won ending.

Black: John Hurt FRENCH DEFENCE White: Paul Dyer 1 P-K4 P-K3 2 P-Q4 P-Q4 3 N-QB3 B-N5 4 P-K5 P-QB4 5 P-QR3 BxNch 6 PxB N-K2 7 Q-N4 PxP 8 QxNP R-KN1 9 QxRP Q-B2 10 N-K2 QN-B3 11 P-KB4 B-Q2 12 Q-Q3 FxP 13 R-QN1 R-QB1 14 P-KR4 N-B4 15 P-KR5 N/3-K2 16 R-N3 B-B3 17 RxBP P-Q5 18 NxP R-Q1 19 N-N5 Q-R4 20 N-Q6ch NxN 21 FxN RxP/3 22 QxR QxRch 23 Q-Q2 Draw agreed

USCF RATINGS FOR TENNESSEE PLAYERS (November 1968-September 1969)

For the first time over 100 players (106 to be exact) have a current USCF rating in Tennessee. This represents an increase over 25% and speaks well for the interest of the game in our state especially among young people.

terest of the game in our state especially among young people.

The number of Experts and Class A players has been reduced due to the loss of Burris and Koploy to other states; and inactivity of players Mercer, Middleton, Lubell and Gilley. But this also meams that some of the young players are moving up such as Mack Garner, Andy Shor and Tony Estes at the expense of veterans.

•	top	ten players in Tennesse	e are	as follows:	
	1.	Sweets (Chattanooga)	2111	6. Shor (Oak Ridge)	1829
	2.	Hurt (Momphis)	1974	7. M. Garner (Maryville)	1826
	3.	Wright (Memphis)	1943	8. Finucane (Kingsport)	1809
		Tsitseklis (Knoxville)	1881	9. Estos (Nashville)	1800
		Mallocler (Vaccerilla)	1 9 51	10 Janes (Nachrille)	1 203

5. McNeely (Knoxville		10. Jones (Nashville)	1793	
Abrahams (Murfreesboro)	1572	LaVerne (Oak Ridge)		1627
Akers (Oak Ridge)	1763	Loiserson (Nashville)		1 560
Ball (Alcoa)	1667	Long (Clarksville)		1283
Boerner (Norris)	1 551	McNeoly (Knoxville)		1851
Boerner, M. (Norris)	475	Manekofsky (Nashville)		1329
Bowen (Nashville)		Marvet (Knoxville)		1075
Burnette (Knoxville)	1 <i>5</i> 86	Meyor (Nashville)		1527
Carrier (Elizabothton)	1 541	Mitchell (Memphis)		1381
Cathers (Knoxville)	1418	Moore, A. (Knoxvillo)		1535
Churchill (Momphis)	1762	Moore, J. (Memphis)		1711
Clark, R. (Murfreesboro)	1395	Murphy (Maryville)		1671 1 <i>5</i> 81
Clarke, M. (Taft)		Murr (Rockford)		1407
Clayton (Knoxville)	1103	Nichols (Johnson City)		1738
Clemmenson (Oak Ridge)	1,542	Noonan (Oak Ridge)		1085
Cohen (Nashville)	1505	O'Daniels (Memphis) O'Kelly (Knoxville)		668
Collins (Nashville)				1 571
Cornwell (Nashville)	1393 1129	Owens (Memphis)		977
Dawson (Knoxville)	1291	Page (Maryville)		1738
Decker (Nashville) Dozier (Louisville, Tenn)(dece		Pigg (Oak Ridge)		1422
Dyer (Knoxville)	1568	Prahl (Knoxvillo)		1394
	1800			1467
Estes (Nashville)		Price (Murfreesbore)		1394
Farr (Nashville) Fausey (Nashville)	1059	Ralston (Nashville)		1666
Folt (Memphis)	1602	Roberdeau (Nashville)		1370
Finucano (Kingsport)	1809	Rogers (Chattanooga)		1103
Gardner (Chattanooga)	1492	Ross (Columbia)		1460
Garner, E. (Nemphis)	1437	Rushing (Nashvillo)		1377
Garner, F. (Germantown)	1619	Schofield (Nashville)		1170
Garner, M. (Maryville)	1826	Scowden (Memphis)		1342
Garrett (Memphis)	1443	Searles (CLeveland)		1701
Gentry (Lebanon)		Shemwell (Crockett Mills)		1224
Goode (Memphis)	1 571	Shor (Oak Ridge)		1829
Gordon (Memphis)	1627	Stein (Murfreesbore)		1 530 1 568
Harbinson (Nashville)	972	Swanson (Jamaica)		2111
Harris (Nashville)	1218	Sweets (Chattanooga)		1 592
Hofstetter (Nashvillo)	1281	Tarler (Nashville) Tow (Ft. Campbell, Ky.)		1432
Horton (Johnson City)	1004	Thomas (Memphis)		1608
Hurt (Memphis)	1761			1105
Hutchens	1541	Tsitseklis (Knoxville)		1881
Irwin, B. (Knoxville)	1222	Tuttle (Memphis)		1 538
Irwin, T. (Knoxville) Isbill (Louisville, Tenn.)	1 52.5	Vix (Nashvillo)		1 599
Jenkins (Dickson)	1 509	Wade (Knoxville)		1063
Jewell (Johnson City)	1718	Weis (Memphis)		1438
Johnson (Oak Ridgo)	1335	Whoeler (Jefferson City)		1511
Jones, C. (Nashville)	1119	White (Maryville)		1251
Jones, J. (Nashville)	1793	Willman (Hixson)		1648
Kooi (Nashville)	1475			1424
Koploy (Calif.)	1925	Word (Knoxville)		1166
Kuperstock (Oak Ridge)	1315	Worley (Knoxville)		17 <i>5</i> 1 1943
Kurok (Nashvillo)	1384			1524
Lahde (Nashville)	1729	Jollay (Talbott)		۳۰۰۰

TACTICAL OPENINGS By Richard Searles

A sdudy of 1. P-K4, P-K4 2. N-KB3 P-KB4 (Latvian Gambit) 3. B-B4 Many chess players struggle to develop a distinctive style of their own, and perhaps the hardest question for them to answer is how to play the Black pieces against 1.P-K4. During the past two decades the aggressive player has, more often than not, chosen the Sicilian, 1.P-K4 P-QB4. For this reason exhaustive analysis has been lavished on the Sicilian to the point where players today must expect to play 20 moves or more before getting out of the "book". This in itself is not bad, but has resulted in the tendency for most Sicilian games to have a dull sameness in appoarance. To avoid this, I fully recommend the Latvian Gambit (Greco Counter Gambit) as an answer for the agressive player.

Past history has labeled the Latvian Gambit from "ridiculous and unsound" to "brilliant and innovative". Basically it resembles a reverse King's Gambit and many of the lines are similar with colors reversed. One thing is sure, Black can expect

sharp, original play with more than equal chances.

Because of space limitations we will deal with only one variation at this time, 3.B-B4 labeled by MCO-10 as the "sharpest refutation". Particular attention should be given to Col. 1, note b, and Col. 2 as those appear to be best for Black at this timo; however, this writer is particularly interested in the new analysis occurring in Col. 3. Questions regarding these lines and others will be appreciated, and all will be answered. Later articles may deal with other variations of the Latvian Gbt.

1.	P-K4,	P-K4		N-KB3,	P-KB4	3.	B-B4.			
				L)	*	,	•	(2)	((3)
				$P_{X}P$			3.	N-KB3	3.	P-QN4!? (g)
			4.	$N_{\mathbf{x}}P$			4.	NxP (c)		B-N3 (h)
				Q-IV4				Q-K2	•	PxP
			5.	P-Q4			5.	P-Q4	5.	NxP
				$Q_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{P}$			-	N-B3! (d)		Q-N4
			6.	Q-R5ch			6.	N-B3(o)	6.	P-Q4
				P-N3				NxN	- •	QxP
			7.	B-B7ch			7.	$P_{\mathbf{x}}N$	7.	Q-R5ch
				K-Q1			•	QxP		P-N3
			8.	BxP! (a))		8.	P-B4	8.	B-B7ch
				QxRch			_	Q-B5		K-K1
			9.	K-K2			9.	Q-K2	9.	BxP
				QxB?(b)		, ,	NxP	,•	QxRch
		:	10.	N-B7ch			10.	NxN	10-	K-K2
				K-K1				$P_{\mathbf{x}}N$		B-R31
			fr	om MCO-1	LO		11.	QxPch	11.	QN-Q2
								Q-K2(f)	•	P-N5ch(i)
							Analys	is by Gunderam		,,(,
, ,	١		_				-0 -			

(a) Dotailed notes on alternatives to this move and to other moves made in this column are available in MCO-10, pg. 86.

- (b) Completely ignored by MCO is 9...P-B3! which was suggested by J. Diomer. This move makes the column playable, and maybe even winning for Black as follows: 10.N-B3 N-B3 11 B-N5 QxR 12 BxNch K-B2 13 N-B7 P-N3! 14 Q-K5ch (14 B-K5ch K-N2 15 BxR PxB and Black survivos) P-K3 15 B-K8ch K-N2 16 NxPch BxN 17 QxB B-N5ch! and Black wins. Analysis from "the Latvian Gambit", published by Chess World. (c) 4. P-Q4 KPxP 5 P-K5 N-K5 6 NxP N-QB3 with equal chances.
- (d) This is a new move advocated by G. Gundoram reviving this line for Black. 5...P-Q3 6.N-B7 P-Q4 7 NxR PxB 8 P-K5 N-Q4 9 Q-R5ch P-N3 10 NxP PxN 11 QxNPch Q-B2 12 QxQch KxQ gives a position where White's material advantage is somewhat componsated for by Black's bishops and hold on the central squares, but should be enough for White to win.

(c) 6. N-B7 QxPch 7 K-B1 P-Q4 produces variations such as 8. BxP QxB 9 NxR QxQP 10 Q-K2ch Q-K5 with eventual exchange of the Queens and the better game for Black after B-K3, B-Q3 and 0-0-0.

(f) White is forced to exchange the Queens and Black has attained clear equality!

(g) This move is still undor analysis and conclusive results have not yet been attained. It should be noted that White can deviate from column 3 by 4. BxN which has the advantage of denying Black 0-0. But this does not necessarily hardicap Black since White has exchanged his strong Bishop. (Continued on next page)

GAME OF THE MONTH By Games Editor David Burris

TENNESSEE OPEN 1969

ENC	LISH OPENI	WG White: Par	ıl Ko	ploy	Black:	Bernard	Parham	
1.	N-KB3	N-KB3	16.	P-K4	P-KB3	·· 31 •	K-K3	K-B1
2.	P-B4	P-B4 (a)	17.	P-K5	PxP		K - K4	K-K1
	P-Q4	P-Q3 (b)	18.	R-K1	0-0	33•	P-Q6	K - Q2
4.	PxP!	Q-R4ch	19.	NxP	R-B7ch	34.	K-Q5	P - R5
5.	N-B3	PxP (c)	20.	K-B3	B-B4		N-K7	P-N3
	B-Q2	Q-Q1	21.	B-Q3	R-K1 (h)	36.	N - B6	B-B6
	P-K4	N-B3		N-N+3	RxR	37•	N-K5ch	K-Q1
	В-КЗ	QxQch	-	NxR	B-K4ch	38.	P-KR3	K-B1
_	RxQ	P-K4? (d)	24.	K-Q2	RxR	39•	K - K6	B-K8
	N-Q5!?(e)	NxN (f)	25.	NxR	B-Q2? (i)	40.	K-K7	P-QN4
	KPxN	N-N5		N-B2	BxNP			K-N2
-	NxP	B-Q3		N-K4	B-Q5	42.	P-Q8(N)ch!	k K - R3
	N-Q3	N-B7ch		N-Q6	P-QN3	43.	N - K6	K-N3
	K-Q2	NxB		B-B51	BxB	44.	N-Q7ch	K - B3
-	PxNI (g)	P-QR4	, -	NxB	 B-B3	45.	PxPch	$K_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{P}$
-)•	-2000 (6)		_ • •		-	46.	N/6xP and	white won

(a) A very safe defence to the English Opening.

(b) 3...P-KN3 would have led to a Benoni Defence after P-Q5 by White or perhaps a Sicilian Defence (Maroczy Bind Variation) after ... PxP by Black and P-K4 by Wh.

(c) Black has an unpleasant choice after White's fine 4th move. 5...QxP would lead after 6. P-K4 to a Maroczy Bind Sicilian where White has a couple of extra tempi in a variation which already favors White.

(d) On general principles black should avoid producing a symmetrical position where his opponent has two extra pieces developed. This move loses by force.

(e) 10. N-QN51 wins at least the exchange since 10...R-N1 is answered by 11.N-B7ch and 12. BxP mate. The move played wins a pawn, but why settle for a pawn when you can get more?

(f) Forced.

(g) This move gives Black more problems than 15. KxN e.g. how does black defend against the advance of White's KP?

(h) For the life of me, I cannot find anything wrong with 21... BxB 22 NxB, RxKNP.

(i) Black could have shown the weakness of White's 22nd move with 25...BxRP or 26...BxRP. Instead he captures the white QNP, which is not a very important pawn.

(j) White is offecting his positional advantage. After the following exchange his knight will be stronger than Black's bishop.

(k) This move finishes the game for all practical purposes. White has played well since his slip on the 22nd move.

TACTICAL OPENINGS

(Continued from Provious Page)

(h) A game where White accepted the gambit went as follows: 4.BxP PxP 5 NxP Q-N4 6 P-Q4 QxNP 7 R-B1 P-B3 8 B-R4 B-R3 9 P-QB4 B-N5ch 10 N-QB3 N-K2 11 P-QR3? BxNch 12 FxB P-Q3 13 Q-N4 QxQ 14 NxQ BxP etc. (Wennerstrom-Searles, Corres.1969)

(i) 12. QN-B4 BxNch 13 NxB Q-B6ch! 14 K-K1 QxQ 15 BxQ N-KB3 16 B-N5 B-K2 and Black has a clear win. (Grava-Strautins, Correspondence)

If you are interested in this section on openings, you can express your opinions or criticisms by writing to: Richard Searles, 4250 N. Lee Hwy., Cleveland, TN 37311.

RESHEVSKY U.S. CHAMPION

The 1969 U.S. Championship was concluded in the middle of December in New York. Former title holder and veteran Samuel Reshevsky is the new Champion. The last time he held the title was in 1956. The results follow:

1. Reshovsky 2. Addison 3. Benke 4. Lembardy 5-8. D. Byrne	8 -3	5-8. Modnis	5=5=5=5=5=5=5=5==5==5==5==5=
	7½-3½	5-8. Zuckerman	4==-6==========
	7 -4	9-10. R. Byrne	4==-6==========
	6 -5	9-10. Saidy	3==-7==
	5½-5½	11. Bisguier	2 =9
5-8/ Evans	5 1 2-512	12. Burger	2 -9

GAMES FROM OUR READERS

KNOXVILLE OPEN 1969

				1110111111111				
KING	'S INDIAN	DEFENCE	Whito:	Burnotto	Black:	LaVorno	(Rd.4,	3/30/69)
1.	P-K4	P-Q3		R-B6			P - R5	
2.	P-Q4	P-KN3	26.	R-K1	N-Q5	50.	RxP	K-N3
3.	P-KB4	B-N2	27.	K-B2?(i)	NxR	51.	R-N5	P-R5
4.	N-KB3	N-KB3		NxN	R-B2	52.	$P_{\mathbf{x}}P$	$P_{\mathbf{X}}P$
5.	B-Q3	0-0		N-Q4	K-B2		K-B4	R-Q5ch
6.	0-0	QN-Q2	30.	R-KR1	K-K1		K-B3	P - R6
7.	P-K51?	N-K1		P-B3	P-QR3	55•	K-N3	R-Q6ch
	B-K3	P-N3(a)		K-B3	R/1-B1	56.	K-R2	K-N4
9.	N-B3(b)			P-QR4	R-K2		R-N4	P-B5
	Q-K1!(c)		34.	R-Q1	P-KR4	58.	R-N8	K-B4
	P-KN4?(d)		35.	N-B2	K-32	59•	R-B8ch	K-K4
12.	RxB.			N-N4	P-QR4	60.	R-K8ch	KB4
13.	$B\mathbf{x}P$	PxKP	37.	N-Q5?	R-Q1!	61.	R-KB8ch	
14.	PxP	N-321		P-B4	R/2-Q2	62.	R-K8ch	K-Q5
15.	B-B4!	Q-B1!	39.	R-K1	RxNI	63.	R-Q8ch	K-B6?(m)
16.	N-Q5?(c)	$N_{\mathbf{x}}P(\mathbf{f})$	40.	FxR	RxP	64.	R-KB8	R-B6
17.	$\exists \mathbf{x} \mathbf{N}$	QxPch	41.	RK3	R-Q3?(j)	65.	R-Q8	R-Q6
18.	R-N3	QxB	42.	R-N3	P-34	66.	RKB8	R-Q5
19.	NxPch(g)	K-R1	43.	R-N5	K-B3	67.	$K_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{P}$	K-Q6
20.	BxBch	$K_{X}B$	Lilro	P-QN4	$P_{\mathbf{X}}P$	68.	KN2	KK6
	Q-K5ch		450	$R_{\mathbf{x}}P$	P-KN4	69.	R⊶K8ch	R-K5
22.	R-QB3(h)	, Q⊶N5ch	46.	R-N5	R-Q6ch(k	70.	RxRch	KxR
23.	Q-N3	QxQch	47.	K-B2(1)	R-Q7ch	71.	K-B2	P-B6
24.	$P_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{I}$	Noith	4/8.	KK3	R - Q3	72.	K-K1?(n)) K-K6
Annotations by Doug LaVorin						73.	Rosigns	(₀)

(a) It sooms P-QB4, as in Donavan-Evans (New Ideas in Chess, Diagram 115) is botter. For some reason I didn't like the placement of the P-majarities after 9.FxBP, PxKP etc. 9. P-K6 would appear to speculative.

(b) P-QB3 and QN-Q2 -K4 or B-K4 are much better; White has a good square at K4 and Black could hardly penetrate the pawn wall.

(c) Threatens to threaten P-K6.

(d) Too optimistic; Nf3 is weakened and Po5 will be isolated.

(c) The fight conters around W's efforts to force P-K6. If now ...NxN; BxN and W gains a tompo. But Black's Q-B1 takes advantage of the weakness of White's d4, c4, g4 & f3. After 16 P-KR3, Black would still have a little better Pawn structure; his Knights would favorably occupy the center.

(f) Taking my usual timo figuring out A) 17. BxN QxPch 18 R-N3 QxB B) 17 NxPch K-R1 B1) 18 NxQ?, NxRch wins; B2) 18 BxN QxPch etc. B3) 18. NxNPch?! BPxN? 19 RxRch! BxR 20 QxN! or QxR 20 BxN! B3a) 18...RPxN! 19 BxN! QxPch! and QxB B3a1) 19 R-R3 ch K-N1 20 Q-R4 QxNPch! and other variations.

(g) I hadn't noticed at move 16 the double attack on my knight. But 19. NxN Q-B4ch!
A) 20 K-R1 BxB B 20 R-K3? BxB 21 NxR B-Q51 22 K-B2 BxRch 23 QxB QxPch etc. or
19 BxN Q-B4ch! 20 K moves QxN. Luck! (Probably 19. BxB is best Ed.)

(h) More pieces are enprise.

(i) Hasto due to a more or less nagging clock, he has about 25 minutes to reach 50 moves, I have only about 15.

(j) Hasto also makes waste; R-Q5! wins in a breeze.

(k) Gaining on the clock, as also around moves 58-62.

- (1) Although Bob Coveyou is in Europe and has my Basic Chess Endings, I think I can safely say 47. K-K2 RxP 48 RxPch, is a win for Black.
- (m) Gives White a draw, correct was K-K6! as 61...K-N5 -B6! was also the right way at 61. But now P-f4 must be protected by R-B5, whereupon the Pawns can't advance, White plays R-Q8, and the Black King can't help, I toyed with K-K6 but had already that too long on the endgame at move 51 and made at 63 what appeared to be the least risky move.
- (n) K-B1 draws. (It pays to know the basics of the endgame, Ed.)

(o) Which is why I rarely resign or even offer draws.

CORRECTION * Mr. Swoots points out that in the game Smith - Momie on page 40 the move 44 for Black should road P-B6 (and not R-KR4). Thank you. (Last Nov. issue)

MID-SOUTH OPEN 1969

KING'S FIANCHETTO White: J. Katz Black: Troy Miller 1 P-KN3 P-KN3 2 B-N2 B-N2 3 P-K4 N-QB3 4 N-K2 P-K4 5 P-QB3 N-B3 6 P-Q4 P-Q3 7 0-0 0-0 8 N-R3 B-N5 9 P-Q5 N-K2 10 P-R3 B-Q2 11 P-KB4 Q-B1 12 FxP KNxQP? 13 FxQP BPxP 14 FxN BxRP 15 B-K3 BxB 16 KxB N-B4 17 N-B2 Q-B5 18 R-B4 Q-N4 19 R-QN4 Q-Q2 20 Q-Q3 KR-K1 21 B-B4 N-K2 22 R-K1 P-KR3 23 R-K4 P-KN4 24 B-Q2 QR-B1 25 KN-Q4 NxP? 26 RxRch RxR 27 Q-B51 Q-B1 28 RxRch QxR 29 QxN Q-Q2 30 N-B5 B-K4? 31 NxPch K-N2 32 QxPch QxQ 33 NxQ KxN 34 DxP K-K3 35 K-B3 P-QN3 36 B-B4 B-N2 37 N-Q4ch K-Q4 38 N-N5 K-B5 39 NxRP P-Q4 40 N-B6 P-N4 41 K-K2 B-B1 42 N-K5ch K-B4 43 N-Q7ch Rosigns

SICILIAN DEFENCE White: James Wright Black: Tony Estes 1 P-K4 P-QB4 2 N-KB3 P-K3 3 P-Q4 FxP 4 NxP P-QR3 5 P-QR3 N-KB3 6 N-QB3 Q-B2 7 P-B4 P-Q3 8 B-Q3 QN-Q2 9 P-B5 N-B4 10 0-0 B-K2 11 Q-K2 P-KR4 12 K-R1 B-Q2 13 FxKP FxP 14 N-B3 N-N5 15 B-QB4 P-QN4 16 B-R2 R-QB1 17 B-N5 BxB 18 NxB P-Q4! (Threat is QxP#) 19 P-KN3 P-Q5 20 N-Q1 N-B3 21 P-QN+ QNxKP! 22 NxKP? BxN 23 BxB Q-K4! 24 Q-N2 QxB 25 R-K1 0-0 26 K-N1 Q-B3 27 N-B2 NxN 28 QxN QxP 29 QxP QR-Q1 30 Q-B5 QxQ 31 FxQ R-B1 32 R-K6 RxP 33 RxP R-M1 34 R-M1 P-N5 35 P-QR4 P-N6 36 R-Q6 P-N7 37 Rosigns

White: John Hurt Black: E.T.C. Lewis 1 P-K4 P-K4 2 N-KB3 N-QB3 3 P-Q4 PxP 4 P-B3 PxP 5 B-QB4 PxF 6 BxF Q-K2 7 0-0 (a) P-Q3 8 N-QB3 N-B3 9 N-Q5 Q-Q1 10 R-K1 B-K2 11 NxB NxN 12 N-N5 (b) 0-0 13 Q-N3 (c) P-Q4 14 FxP N/3xP 15 QR-Q1 P-QB3 16 B-R3 R-K1 17 Q-KB3 N-B4 18 Q-R5 N-R3 19 B-Q3 RxRch 20 RxR N-B3 21 Q-K2 B-B4 22 BxB NxB 23 NxBP KxN 24 Q-K6ch K-N3 25 RP-4 P-KR4 26 P-N4 NxP 27 FrPch K-R2 28 P-R6 Q-Q4 29 Rosigns Notos by John Hurt

- (a) Bottor is N-QB3 at onco, followed by 0-0-0 possibly.
- (b) 12 P-K5 PxP 13 QxQch KxQ 14 NxF leads to equality.
- (c) 13 BxN PxB 14 NxRP was considered but is not good enough.

VIENNA GAME* White: Robert Churchill Black: Majeed Nahas 1 P-K4 N-KB3 2 N-QB3 F-Q4 3. F-K5 (a) P-Q5 4 FxN FxN 5 NFxP (b) KFxP 6 B-B4 B-K2 7 N-K2 0-0 8 0-0 P-QN3 9 P-Q4 B-N2 10 N-N3 P-QB4 11 Q-N4 R-K1? 12 N-B5 F-N3 13 N-R6ch K-N2 14 NxBP Q-Q4?(c) 15 BxQ BxB 16 N-R6 K-B1 17 B-Q2 P-B4 18 NxP FxP 19 QxPch B-B2 20 QxRP Rosigns

- (a) The game Lahde Nahas went: 3 PxP NxP 4 B-B4 NxN 5 Q-B3! P-K3 6 QxN N-Q2 7 N-B3 N-B3 8 0-0 B-K2 9 R-K1 0-0 10 Drawn.
- (b) If 5. FxNP thon FxPch!
- (c) Losing the Queen, but black is lost anyway. If 14,...Q-B1 15 B-R6ch! K-M1 16 N-Q6disch!. Or 14,,, Q-B2 15 B-R6ch K-N1 16 N-K5ch! K-R1 17 NxNPch! FxN 18 QxP and both throats 19 Q-N7 mate and 19 QxRch cannot be parried.
 *The opening is not a Vienna, only if black had played 2...P-K4 would it have

been. MCO clasifies it under Alekhine's Defence.

FRENCH DEFENCE White: Bill Wilwers Black: Poter Lahde 1 P-K4 P-K3 2 P-Q4 P-Q4 3 N-QB3 B-N5 3 N-QB3 4 P-K5 P-QB4 5 P-QR3 BxNch 6 PxB Q-B2 7 Q-N4 P-B3 8 N-K2 PxKP 9 FxP QxKP 10 B-B4 Q-B3 11 Q-N3? N-B3 12 B-N5 Q-B4 13 0-0-0 P-KN3 14 N-B4? P-KR3! 15 B-Q3! QxB 16 BxPch K-K2 17 Q-K3 K-Q3 18 KR-K1 KN-K2 19 P-KR4 Q-B3 20 B-K4 P-N3 21 P-N4 R-B1 22 N-R3 N-R4 23 P-N5 PxP 24 FxP Q-N2 25 B-N2 N-B5 26 Q-N3ch K-B3 27 N-B4 Q-B2 28 N-Q3 Q-N2 29 N-B4 RxN1? 30 QxR QxBP 31 Q-B61 QxPch 32 K-M1 Q-N5ch 33 K-B1 Q-R6ch 34 K-M1 (a) Drawn by porpotual (a) Porhaps Black should have tried 34...K-N21? and if 35 QxNch? K-R3 36 Q-B6(forced)

P-Q5!! and Black mates. But White can play differently.

Black: Konny Cohon Q.P. COUNTER GAMBIT White: Majecd Nahas 1 P-K4 P-K4 2 N-KB3 P-Q4 3 P-Q4 FxKP 4 NxP B-Q3 5 N-QB3 P-KB3? 6 Q-R5ch! P-N3 7 NxNP B-KN5 8 QxB PxN 9 QxNPch K-B1 10 B-D4 Q-Q2? 11 BxN RxB 12 B-R6ch R-N2 13 QxPch K-N1 14 QxRch QxQ 15 BxQ KxB 16 NxP B-K2 17 0-0-0 N-B3 18 P-Q5 Rosigns The shortest decisive game in the open section.

MATCH: FISCHER - BOTWINNIK?

A Match between Fischer and Betwinnik is to start on April 7, 1970 in Helland. Apparently Fischer has now agreed to the terms which call for 18 games to be played, the winner to receive \$8000 and the loser \$5000.

A match USSR vs. Rest of the World has been suggested. This could mean a four game match between Spassky and Fischer. Fischer was asked about this possibility. He replied:"I just now heard of this match. Four games are much too few, in my opinion. I shall think it over. Anyway I would like to say alroady now, that a match with Spassky would be interesting, although it is only a short one. (Chess Express).